MSI Journal
of Arts, Law and Justice (MSIJALJ)

Exploring EFL Teachers' Approaches to Developing Intercultural Communication Skills

By

Pham Quang Ngoc[1*]
Faculty of Foreign Languages, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, Ho Chi Minh city, Vietnam[1*]

Abstract: Intercultural communication skills are essential in today's globalized world, particularly in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classroom, where students must navigate diverse cultural contexts. This study investigates the strategies and methodologies employed by EFL teachers to enhance intercultural communicative competence (ICC) among their students. Utilizing a qualitative research approach, the study explores teachers' perceptions, instructional practices, and the challenges they face in integrating intercultural components into language teaching. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with experienced EFL educators across various educational settings. Findings indicate that while many teachers acknowledge the significance of ICC, there is variability in its implementation due to factors such as curricular constraints, lack of resources, and personal teaching philosophies. Additionally, the study highlights effective practices such as the use of authentic cultural materials, role-playing, and student-centered discussions. The discussion underscores the necessity for institutional support and professional development opportunities to enhance teachers' ability to foster intercultural understanding. This research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on the role of intercultural communication in language education and provides recommendations for educators seeking to integrate these skills into their teaching practices.

Keywords: Intercultural communication, EFL teachers, intercultural communicative competence, qualitative research, language education

Introduction

The increasing globalization of education necessitates the development of intercultural communication skills, particularly in the context of EFL instruction. English functions not only as a linguistic tool but also as a bridge for cross-cultural communication, fostering dialogue between individuals from diverse sociocultural backgrounds (Baker, 2015; Deardorff, 2006). As such, EFL teachers serve as facilitators of intercultural awareness, enabling students to navigate cultural diversity effectively and develop a nuanced understanding of global perspectives. ICC extends beyond language proficiency; it encompasses knowledge, skills, and attitudes that allow individuals to interact appropriately and effectively in intercultural contexts (Byram, 1997; Fantini, 2020). This competence is increasingly recognized as a vital component of foreign language education, given the growing interconnectedness of societies and the need for cultural sensitivity in international communication (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013).

Despite widespread acknowledgment of ICC's importance, its practical implementation in EFL classrooms varies significantly across educational settings. Some teachers incorporate cultural elements into their lessons using textbooks, while others employ experiential learning strategies such as virtual exchanges, project-based tasks, and immersion activities (O'Dowd, 2016; Sercu, 2005). However, these approaches face significant barriers, including rigid curricular structures, time constraints, and insufficient professional training opportunities (Liu & Fang, 2020). The lack of pedagogical resources dedicated to ICC further complicates efforts to integrate intercultural learning into language instruction (Deardorff, 2006). Additionally, educators' personal beliefs and attitudes toward intercultural learning play a crucial role in determining the extent to which ICC is prioritized within their teaching practices (Young & Sachdev, 2011). Research indicates that while teachers generally support ICC integration, many feel unprepared to address intercultural dimensions systematically within their language classrooms (Sercu et al., 2005).

This study aims to explore the various approaches that EFL teachers employ to develop intercultural communication skills among their students. Specifically, it investigates how teachers conceptualize ICC, the instructional methodologies they utilize, and the challenges they encounter in fostering intercultural understanding. Using qualitative research design, this study seeks to contribute to the growing body of literature on ICC in foreign language education by providing empirical insights into teachers' perspectives and experiences. The findings will inform the development of more effective pedagogical strategies and institutional policies that support intercultural competence in EFL classrooms. By addressing these issues, this research underscores the critical role of educators in shaping globally competent learners who can engage meaningfully with diverse cultural perspectives in an increasingly interconnected world.

Literature Review

ICC is a pivotal concept in language education, introduced by Byram (1997), and has been extensively explored in various academic contexts. ICC consists of three primary dimensions: knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The knowledge component refers to an understanding of different cultural norms, values, and communicative practices, allowing language learners to recognize and interpret cultural differences effectively (Byram, 1997; Deardorff, 2006). Skills within ICC involve the ability to compare, contrast, and critically analyze diverse cultural perspectives, ensuring that learners can navigate intercultural interactions with awareness and sensitivity (Fantini, 2020). Attitudes such as openness, curiosity, and respect toward other cultures serve as fundamental drivers for successful intercultural communication, as they encourage learners to challenge ethnocentric viewpoints and embrace multiple cultural realities (Kramsch, 1993; Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013). Furthermore, Deardorff (2006) argues that ICC should be viewed as a lifelong developmental process, rather than a fixed set of competencies, suggesting that language educators must continuously refine their approaches to teaching cultural content. Research by Spitzberg and Changnon (2009) also supports a dynamic model of ICC, where intercultural competence evolves based on learners' interactions, experiences, and contextual exposures.

The traditional approach to EFL instruction has been primarily focused on linguistic competence, prioritizing grammar, vocabulary acquisition, and syntactic accuracy (Sercu, 2005). However, with the increasing globalization of education, there has been a shift toward a more integrative approach that includes cultural dimensions as a key aspect of language proficiency (Fantini, 2020). Studies indicate that exposure to authentic cultural materials, such as literature, films, and media from diverse English-speaking contexts, can significantly enhance students' ability to navigate intercultural interactions (Byram et al., 2002; O'Dowd, 2016). Task-based learning and project-based approaches have also been identified as effective pedagogical strategies that enable students to engage with intercultural scenarios in a practical and meaningful way (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013). Moreover, digital advancements have facilitated intercultural communication in EFL classrooms through virtual exchanges, where students can directly engage with peers from different cultural backgrounds, thereby developing both linguistic and intercultural competencies simultaneously (O'Dowd, 2016; Helm, 2018). Despite these advancements, challenges remain in integrating ICC effectively due to curriculum constraints, educators' lack of formal training in intercultural instruction, and limited institutional resources (Liu & Fang, 2020). Addressing these challenges requires systematic policy reforms, increased professional development opportunities, and enhanced pedagogical support to ensure that ICC becomes a core component of EFL instruction globally.

A growing body of research highlights the role of teachers in promoting ICC, emphasizing the necessity of professional training and pedagogical development in this area. Deardorff (2006) conducted a comprehensive study on intercultural competence development and found that while educators widely acknowledge the importance of ICC, many lack the required methodologies and instructional strategies to integrate it into language teaching effectively. Similarly, Liu and Fang (2020) explored EFL teachers' experiences in Asia and identified several key barriers to ICC instruction, including rigid assessment systems, time constraints, and inadequate curricular support. A study by Sercu et al. (2005) demonstrated that while EFL teachers across different countries recognize the significance of intercultural education, their implementation strategies vary greatly depending on institutional priorities and available resources. Furthermore, O'Dowd (2016) highlighted the potential of technology-enhanced learning, particularly virtual intercultural exchanges, in bridging gaps in ICC instruction by offering learners direct engagement with diverse cultural perspectives. Research by Helm (2018) further substantiated this claim, noting that online intercultural projects enable students to engage in authentic communication while fostering cross-cultural understanding. Despite these advancements, challenges persist, and further research is needed to explore more effective ways to integrate ICC into formal EFL curricula across different educational contexts.

Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore the pedagogical approaches and strategies that EFL teachers utilize to develop intercultural communication skills in their students. A qualitative approach is particularly suitable for this investigation as it allows for an in-depth exploration of educators' perceptions, instructional methodologies, and challenges in fostering intercultural communicative competence (Creswell & Poth, 2018). Data collection was conducted through semi-structured interviews with 15 EFL teachers working in diverse educational settings, including secondary schools and universities. The selection of participants was based on purposive sampling, ensuring diversity in teaching experience, geographical location, and institutional affiliation (Patton, 2015). The interview questions were designed to elicit detailed insights into teachers' conceptualization of ICC, the strategies they employ in their classrooms, and the barriers they encounter in implementing intercultural instruction.

The data analysis was carried out using thematic analysis, following Braun and Clarke's (2006) framework for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within qualitative data. This method allowed for the identification of recurring themes related to instructional practices, teacher training, institutional support, and perceived obstacles in integrating ICC into language teaching. Each interview was transcribed verbatim, coded systematically, and categorized into emerging themes using NVivo software, which facilitated an organized approach to data interpretation (Bazeley & Jackson, 2013).

Ethical considerations were strictly observed throughout the study. Participants provided informed consent before their involvement, and confidentiality was ensured by anonymizing responses and securing data storage in compliance with ethical research guidelines (BERA, 2018). Additionally, efforts were made to minimize researcher bias by employing member checking, wherein participants reviewed their transcribed responses for accuracy and validity (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). The qualitative design of this study thus offers rich, contextualized insights into how EFL teachers navigate the complexities of fostering intercultural competence in language education.

Findings and Discussion

The analysis of qualitative data revealed several key insights regarding the conceptualization and implementation of intercultural communication skills in EFL instruction. In response to the first research question—how EFL teachers conceptualize and integrate intercultural communication skills into their teaching practices—findings suggest that while educators generally recognize the importance of ICC, their

understanding varies. Some teachers perceive ICC as an extension of linguistic competence, focusing on sociolinguistic awareness and pragmatic strategies (Byram, 1997; Deardorff, 2006). Others adopt a broader perspective, viewing ICC as encompassing critical cultural awareness and the ability to navigate diverse communicative settings (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013). Strategies for ICC integration range from explicit cultural instruction using authentic materials (e.g., literature, films, digital media) to experiential learning approaches such as role-playing, problem-based discussions, and virtual exchange programs (O'Dowd, 2016; Helm, 2018). Teachers who had undergone specialized training in intercultural education demonstrated a greater tendency to implement structured ICC-focused activities, while those without such training relied on implicit cultural exposure through language teaching.

Regarding the second research question—what challenges EFL teachers face in developing students' intercultural communicative competence—several obstacles emerged. A predominant concern is the rigidity of national curricula, which often prioritize grammatical and lexical proficiency over communicative and cultural competence (Liu & Fang, 2020). Teachers report limited autonomy in designing ICC-oriented lessons due to standardized testing requirements and prescribed syllabi that emphasize linguistic accuracy rather than intercultural engagement (Sercu, 2005). Additionally, a lack of institutional support, including inadequate professional development opportunities and insufficient teaching materials, hinders effective ICC integration (Fantini, 2020). Many educators expressed frustration over the absence of pedagogical resources tailored to intercultural instruction, leading them to rely on self-curated materials or informal discussions (Liddicoat & Scarino, 2013).

Furthermore, findings suggest that personal attitudes and preconceptions play a role in shaping how teachers approach ICC. While some educators actively seek to promote intercultural understanding through inclusive classroom dialogues and culturally responsive teaching, others hesitate due to concerns about student resistance or misinterpretation of cultural content (Young & Sachdev, 2011). Additionally, logistical constraints, such as large class sizes and time limitations, further impede meaningful ICC instruction (Deardorff, 2006). Some teachers reported difficulty in facilitating deep intercultural reflection within limited classroom hours, making it challenging to move beyond superficial discussions of culture.

Despite these challenges, participants highlighted several effective practices for fostering ICC in EFL contexts. The use of digital technologies, including virtual cultural exchanges and online discussion forums, was cited as a valuable tool for promoting authentic intercultural interactions (Helm, 2018; O'Dowd, 2016). Moreover, teachers emphasized the benefits of integrating reflective tasks, such as intercultural journals and ethnographic projects, to encourage students to critically engage with cultural differences (Byram, 1997). These findings underscore the need for more structured institutional support and curriculum reform to facilitate comprehensive ICC instruction in EFL classrooms.

Conclusion

The findings of this study emphasize the essential role that EFL teachers play in fostering ICC in language education. While many educators acknowledge the importance of ICC, its integration into pedagogical practice remains inconsistent due to institutional constraints, curriculum rigidity, and a lack of adequate training and resources. The study highlights that teachers who have received professional development in intercultural education are more likely to implement structured ICC-focused activities, whereas others rely on implicit exposure through language instruction. This underscores the necessity for systematic reforms in EFL education, including curriculum adjustments that prioritize intercultural competence alongside linguistic proficiency.

Furthermore, this research reveals that challenges such as standardized assessments, time limitations, and varying student receptiveness hinder the full implementation of ICC in EFL instruction. Despite these barriers, effective strategies such as the use of digital tools, virtual exchanges, and reflective learning tasks have been identified as valuable approaches to enhancing students' intercultural awareness. As globalization continues to shape educational practices, it is imperative that policymakers and institutions provide sustained support for teachers by integrating ICC into teacher training programs and ensuring access to culturally diverse instructional materials.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the broader discourse on language education by providing insights into the experiences of EFL teachers in developing intercultural communication skills among students. Future research could expand on these findings by exploring ICC implementation across different educational contexts and examining the long-term impact of intercultural instruction on students' communicative competence. By fostering a more holistic and inclusive approach to language education, institutions can better equip learners with the intercultural competencies necessary for meaningful engagement in global communication.

References

1. Baker, W. (2015). *Culture and identity through English as a lingua franca: Rethinking concepts and goals in intercultural communication* (Vol. 8). Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.
2. Jackson, K., & Bazeley, P. (2019). Qualitative data analysis with NVivo.

3. Race, R., & Vidal-Hall, C. (2019). The BERA/SAGE handbook of educational research–Volumes 1 and 2.
4. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, *3*(2), 77-101.
5. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
6. Byram, M. (2020). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence: Revisited*. Multilingual matters.
7. Byram, M., Gribkova, B., & Starkey, H. (2002). *Developing the intercultural dimension in language teaching: A practical introduction for teachers*. Language Policy Division, Directorate of School, Out-of-School and Higher Education, Council of Europe.
8. Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of studies in international education*, *10*(3), 241-266.
9. Deardorff, D. K. (2020). *Manual for developing intercultural competencies: Story circles* (p. 116). Taylor & Francis.
10. Helm, F. (2018). The long-term effects of virtual exchange: A mixed-method study. Language Learning & Technology, 22(1), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2018.1529829
11. Kramsch, C. (1993). *Context and culture in language teaching*. Oxford university press.
12. Liddicoat, A. J., & Scarino, A. (2013). *Intercultural language teaching and learning*. John Wiley & Sons.
13. Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1988). Criteria for Assessing Naturalistic Inquiries as Reports.
14. Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods*. sage.
15. Liu, J., & Fang, F. (2020). Intercultural communication in EFL teaching: Challenges and strategies. Language and Intercultural Communication, 20(4), 362-378. https://doi.org/10.1080/14708477.2020.1761372
16. O'Dowd, R. (2016). Emerging trends and new directions in telecollaborative learning. *Calico journal*, *33*(3), 291-310.
17. Sercu, L., & Bandura, E. (2005). *Foreign language teachers and intercultural competence: An international investigation* (Vol. 10). Multilingual Matters.
18. Spitzberg, B. H., & Changnon, G. (2009). Conceptualizing intercultural competence. In *The SAGE handbook of intercultural competence* (pp. 2-52). SAGE Publications, Inc.
19. Young, T. J., & Sachdev, I. (2011). Intercultural communicative competence: Exploring English language teachers' beliefs and practices. *Language awareness*, *20*(2), 81-98.