

Of Immediate

Mr. Dibakar Pal, M. Phil^{1*}

^{1*} Retired Executive Magistrate (Civil Servant in India) & Independent Scholar



Received: 20-April-2025

Accepted: 29-April-2025

Published: 02-May-2025

Copyright © 2025, Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/> (CC BY 4.0 deed)

This article is published by **MSI Publishers** in **MSI Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (MSIJMR)**

ISSN 3049-0669 (Online)

Volume: 2, Issue: 5 (May-2025)

ABSTRACT: The rich is rich in thinking. The poor cannot think like the rich. As such the poor is poor since they are poor. The poor cannot earn much. So they are poor. Money earning is difficult. More difficult is to earn regularly. Most difficult is to keep it for long. This answers why immediate rich are more than permanent rich.

Keywords: Immediate, at once, nearest, instant, present, current, direct, next, independently.

Introduction

Creative writing is based more on manifestation rather than on expression. It does not inform, rather it reveals. So it bears no reference. The best creative writing is critical, and the best critical writing is creative. This article is an outcome of thinking about creative writing meant for a general readership. As such, I have adopted a free style methodology so that everyone can enjoy the pleasure of reading. As you might know, Francis Bacon (1561-1626), the immortal essayist, wrote many essays namely 'Of Love', 'Of Friendship', 'Of Ambition', 'Of Studies', and so on. The multiple-minded genius correctly pointed out that all the words of the dictionary can be used as themes for essays. But little has been done since his death to continue or finish his monumental task. Bacon's unique individual style of presentation ignited my imagination and encouraged me to write creative essays as a method of relieving a wide range of emotions through catharsis.

Article

Immediate means happening or done without delay. For example: We must make an immediate response. Dioxin is a poison that takes immediate effect.

It is occurring or done at once. For example: The authorities took no immediate action.

It is nearest in time, relationship, or rank. For example: No changes are envisaged in the immediate future.

It is occurring, acting, or accomplished without loss or interval of time. It implies instant e.g., an immediate need.

It is near to or related to the present e.g., the immediate past.

It is of or relating to the here and now. It is current e.g., too busy with immediate concerns to worry about the future.

It is existing without intervening space or substance e.g., brought into immediate contact.

It is being near at hand e.g., the immediate neighborhood.

It is being next in line or relation e.g., the immediate family.

It is acting or being without the intervention of another object, cause, or agency. It is direct e.g., the immediate cause of death

It is present to the mind independently of other states or factors e.g., immediate awareness.

It is involving or derived from a single premise e.g., an immediate inference.

It is directly touching or concerning a person or thing e.g., the child's immediate world is the classroom.

There are three types of persons. The first category thinks only for immediate. He is a casual person. The second category thinks for future. He is a serious person. The third category thinks for both present and future. He is a perfect person. The other two are imperfect in nature and behavior.

Perfection is alias and akin to ideal. Ideal is always unattainable. It is like limit tends to infinity but never reaches infinity as per concept of calculus of mathematics. Very few persons can acquire it. More few can hold it for long. It is really an art. All are not artists. All cannot be artist. All are not destined to be artist. This answers why immediate successful persons are numbered.

Food is immediate need of a poor person. A poor person spends what he earns. If he earns less he has to starve. If his earning is sufficient then he gets full meal. The rich eats butter. They think better. The poor does not get butter and sauce. To them hunger is the best sauce. Butter is luxury to a poor. He does not think of it even in dream. He exists following hand to mouth policy. It is his immediate strategy for his mere existence.

There are three types of persons. The first category spends what he earns. He has no immediate savings. The second category spends less than his earning. He has immediate savings. The third category spends more than his earning. He has immediate liability.

A person may have no immediate savings. He may not suffer from disease. He is lucky. In contrast a person may have savings. He may lose it spending to save from disease. He is also lucky. If he did not have savings he would have to die untreated.

They say money brings happiness. As such the rich is happy. Also money brings disease as is believed by another school of thought. So the rich suffers more than the poor. The poor has no money. They have no tension. They suffer less. The only drawback of the poor is that they die unfed. The paradox is that the rich remains physically fit if they eat less. They suffer if they eat more. The rich follows this guideline. In contrast, the poor suffers from malnutrition due to lack of food. They become fit if they take more than rich.

A health guideline states, "Take less to take more". A health conscious person follows this guideline immediate and permanent. It means a man dies premature due to over feed. If that very man takes less then he lives long. The consumption of total food during life span in the second example is greater than the former one.

The rich is rich in thinking. The poor cannot think like the rich. As such the poor is poor since they are poor. The poor cannot earn much. So they are poor. Money earning is difficult. More difficult is to earn regularly. Most difficult is to keep it for long. This answers why immediate rich are more than permanent rich.

They say it may be easy to love a beautiful woman but difficult to hold her for long, just like easy earning money may not always be easy to keep in safe custody for long. Here lies the limitation of immediate. Rather there is a quarrel between immediate and permanent always.

Man earns. Man spends. Man saves. Savings is an art. Someone saves after spending. Someone saves then spends. Both the persons are diagonally opposite in their life style. The former person did not face the hard reality. The latter one faced the hard reality. He knows that if he does not save first then all will be spent. And in future he will face financial crisis. None gives money in need. None helps during crisis period. In this regard he has bitter experience. He knows the difference between compulsory savings and casual savings.

Someone saves without spending much though he has sufficient money. This type of person is over cautious saver. In reality such savings is quite useless. Actually he is a miser. Miser dies leaving behind huge property.

There are two types of persons. The first category does not spend much. He followed a self-imposed limitation. He dies with immediate huge savings. The second category spends lavishly and dies with immediate much loan. He did not care the proverb, "Cut your coat according to your cloth". The former person did not enjoy life. He observed self-torture. He does not blame anybody. The second person enjoyed life much. He considers that life is only for once. As such he likes to drink life to the lees. Now there is a debate who is better between the two?

If father dies leaving behind huge property then the sons and daughters become dangerously active to take lion's share deceiving others. And if father dies leaving loan then these heirs decline to take any kind of liability. Even they decline to take the responsibility of their old ailing mother.

To avoid this immediate crisis after death a judicious person makes no property rather spends much for the education purpose of his children. Then there is no property, hence there is no immediate quarrel among the issues. In contrast if the children are not educated properly then with the immediate death of parents they will be involved in quarrel and conspiracy. The parents die due to tension with tension.

Someone speaks of immediate relation with a person when the concerned person becomes famous. He preaches that the person became famous obeying his guidance. As such full credit should go to him, rather not to the concerned person in question. That very person declines the immediate relation when the concerned person is ill-famed. A sly person speaks of false relation if the person acquires fame. To such a sly person immediate profit is the only single agenda.

A judicious person never quarrels with immediate relation. One quarrel gives birth to another quarrel and so on. It is an endless episode of an infinite drama.

Someone can make immediate relation far and far relation immediate, as and when required, with his innovative mechanism. He is really a genius. He is a finished scoundrel. Even God can commit any mistake, but he is faultless. It is his classical success. In contrast, a fool quarrels with all. He considers immediate interest only. He converts all immediate into distant. For this callous attitude he does not have any immediate relation. He has only distant relation. He is really a deserted person. It is his artistic failure.

There are two types of persons. The first category does the important task first and immediate. He does the other works as per situation and relevance. He follows priority and sequence. His judicious decision is the key to his success. In contrast a callous person does as per his whims. He does what he thinks deem and proper. But he never considers its relevancy. He seldom thinks the outcome of his action and activity. In fact he lacks in talent. That's why he cannot differentiate between immediate i.e., present and future. He does what is unimportant and does not do what is to be done immediate thereby loses both the ways.

Conclusion

Sense of immediate is very important in human life. It is the secret key to success of a successful person. An intelligent person does the immediate thing first. He strikes the iron when it is hot. In contrast a callous person either sits idle when he should be active during urgency or rushes behind the unimportant and irrelevant thing thereby wastes valuable time of life. His important and immediate things remain undone. Time management is quite unknown to him. He cannot do anything timely. As such he fails successfully in every sphere of his life. Thus his successful failure paves the way to invite utter and untimely ruin. He suffers from cradle to coffin. Misfortune dogs him wherever he goes. Misery follows him like shadow till he breathes his last. Here lies the importance of immediate action of relevant thing.

References

They say and hearsay.