MSI Journal of
Multidisciplinary Research (MSIJMR)

Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Adolescent Rehabilitation in Nigeria: A Sociological Framework

Shajobi-Ibikunle, Gloria, Ph. D[1*], Godwin-Abdullahi, Doyinsola, MSc.[2]

[1*]Associate Professor of Criminal Law & Director, center for Security Studies, University of Abuja.

[2]Research Coordinator/Executive Director, Lady360Initiative

*** Correspondence:** Shajobi-Ibikunle, Gloria, Ph. D

The authors declare that no funding was received for this work.

OPEN ACCESS

Received: 21-December-2025
Accepted: 28-January-2026
Published: 07-February-2026

Copyright © 2026, Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ (CC BY 4.0 deed)

This article is published in the **MSI Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (MSIJMR) ISSN 3049-0669 (Online)**

The journal is managed and published by MSI Publishers.

Volume: 3, Issue: 2 (February-2026)

ABSTRACT: The Nigerian adolescent rehabilitative landscape is characterized by systemic deficiencies. Its preoccupation with punitive measures and critical understaffing impedes the successful reintegration of young offenders. This study reviews how adolescent criminology and computational interventions intersect. Specifically, it examines the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in optimizing rehabilitative outcomes. Utilizing a doctrinal methodology, the research involves an analysis of existing legal frameworks, international best practices, and secondary literature to evaluate the viability of AI-driven automated supervision, diagnostic risk assessment, and remote education facilitation. The findings suggest that integrating AI can transform vocational training and behavioral correction. However, the study indicates a critical need for robust ethical governance to protect vulnerable groups from algorithmic bias. Consequently, the paper advocates for the formulation of rigorous regulatory frameworks designed to enhance institutional efficiency and mitigate recidivism rates. It concludes with strategic recommendations for the ethical

deployment of these technologies to ensure a secure, rights-based rehabilitative environment.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Rehabilitation, Juvenile Justice, Adolescents*

1.1 Introduction

The Imperative for Effective Adolescent Rehabilitation in Nigeria

The socio-economic landscape of Nigeria presents numerous challenges that can contribute to the involvement of adolescents in delinquent activities. The current juvenile justice system in Nigeria, while recognizing the need for specialized treatment for young offenders, is largely punitive (UNICEF, 2021; Atilola et al., 2021). Global research and trends, however, increasingly indicate that rehabilitation, rather than punishment, is the most effective strategy for reintegrating young offenders back into society and preventing future criminal behaviour (Lipsey, 2009; Shader, 2019). Despite the existence of legal frameworks aimed at protecting children in conflict with the law, the implementation of these frameworks remains inconsistent across Nigeria's states, leading to significant disparities in the treatment of juvenile offenders. Many young individuals within the justice system are still subjected to harsh conditions, including detention in adult prisons where they face abuse and further criminalization. Limited access to legal representation further complicates their ability to receive fair trials, and the often-inhumane conditions within correctional facilities fail to provide meaningful opportunities for rehabilitation. The prevailing approach, therefore, often exacerbates the cycle of criminalization instead of fostering reformative processes (Alemika, 2017). The over-reliance on punishment, despite evidence suggesting rehabilitation is more effective, points to a disconnect between legal frameworks and practical implementation, possibly caused by resource constraints and societal attitudes. The consistent criticism of the punitive approach alongside the global favour for rehabilitation suggests that Nigeria's system is facing a significant lag, due to funding limitations, inadequate infrastructure, and a lack of widespread public awareness or sufficient political will.

The term Artificial Intelligence (AI) means computer systems designed to replicate human behaviour. It incorporates the ability to think and learn (Russel & Norvig, 2022). AI is rapidly transforming various sectors worldwide, including healthcare, education, and social services, offering innovative solutions to complex problems. Its capacity to analyse intricate datasets, personalize interventions based on individual needs, and enhance user engagement sets AI as a tool for addressing social issues. This article addresses a critical research gap: the exploration of AI's potential to tackle challenges within the Nigerian adolescent rehabilitation system. It offers a new perspective, informed by sociological principles, on how technology can be ethically and effectively employed to facilitate more successful rehabilitation outcomes. The primary objectives of this article are:

- To critically analyse the current state of adolescent rehabilitation in Nigeria through a sociological lens
- To review existing literature on AI applications in rehabilitation and behavioural therapy, with a specific focus on adolescent populations and developing countries
- To propose a conceptual AI-driven framework tailored for adolescent rehabilitation in Nigeria, considering its unique socio-cultural and economic context
- To discuss the crucial ethical considerations associated with implementing AI in this sensitive domain, and finally, to offer best practices and actionable recommendations for the successful and responsible adoption of AI in Nigerian adolescent rehabilitation programs.

2.1 Challenges and the Quest for Reform: A Review of Literature

Legal Framework

Punishment

Punishment has been defined as a sanction assessed against a person who has violated the law (Blacks, 2004). The term ‘punishment’ also means any fine, penalty, or confinement inflicted upon a person by the authority of the law and the judgment

and sentence of a court for some crime or offence committed by him, or for his omission of duty enjoined by law. Punishment is generally an important aspect of the criminal justice process; however, it can also be said to be a passionate reaction of graduated intensity that society exercises through the medium of a body acting upon those of the members who have violated certain rules of conduct (Durkheim, 1993). Indeed, punishment is the end product of a trial, which is concluded by a final pronouncement of conviction and sentencing. The word "sentence" is therefore the final pronouncement of a court of a Trial Judge or Magistrate after conducting full trial in accordance with the provisions of the law and the constitution (Shajobi-Ibikunle & Mohammed, 2023) It comes in form of imposition of punishment or fine or both for the offence which the accused or defendant has been proved guilty for an offence law (section 36(12),1999 Constitution). Fatayi Williams says the sentence is the gist of any criminal proceedings: It is to the trial what the bullet is to the gun (Fatayi-Williams, 1970). The decision to find an appropriate sentence for an offender, which is the most difficult and delicate aspect of sentencing, is the function of the sentencer, that is, the Magistrate and Judges.

Rehabilitation

In criminal justice, rehabilitation refers to efforts to redirect offenders toward lawful conduct by addressing factors that contribute to offending, particularly among non violent and youthful offenders. The primary aim is to reduce repeat offending rather than to rely solely on punitive responses (Berenji et al., 2014). Rehabilitation also applies beyond juvenile justice and may guide sentencing decisions for adult offenders and corporate entities. Nigerian law recognises rehabilitation as one of the objectives of sentencing. The Administration of Criminal Justice Act provides a framework that guides courts when imposing sentences. Section 401 of the Act identifies seven objectives that a sentencing court must consider. These include prevention, restraint, rehabilitation, deterrence, public education, retribution, and restitution. Prevention seeks to discourage the offender from future wrongdoing by highlighting the consequences of criminal conduct. Restraint aims to protect society by limiting the offender's capacity to commit further offences through restriction of liberty. Rehabilitation focuses on providing treatment, education, or training that supports behavioural change and social readjustment. Deterrence addresses the wider

social impact of sentencing by warning others against similar conduct. Public education serves to reinforce social norms by distinguishing acceptable behaviour from prohibited acts. Retribution reflects society's response to wrongdoing through proportionate punishment, while restitution aims to compensate victims or their families for harm suffered.

This statutory framework shows that rehabilitation occupies a defined place within Nigerian sentencing policy and operates alongside other recognised sentencing objectives.

Rehabilitation seeks to redirect offenders toward lawful conduct through structured interventions such as prison work, skills training, education, counselling, and faith based guidance. These measures focus on addressing behaviour and supporting social adjustment rather than relying on punishment alone, particularly in relation to youthful offenders (Berenji et al., 2014). This approach recognises that effective correction requires attention to the conditions that contribute to offending rather than a sole emphasis on custodial sanctions. Nigerian criminal law contains provisions that recognise the distinct status of young offenders. Statutory rules prohibit the imposition of the death penalty on juveniles, and courts have applied this protection in practice. In cases involving both adult and youthful offenders charged with armed robbery, the Supreme Court has upheld the death sentence for the adult offender while ordering the detention of the juvenile at the Military Governor's pleasure on account of his age as a fifteen year old school pupil. The law also restricts the use of imprisonment for children and permits it only where no less severe measure is appropriate, as provided under section 2 of the Children and Young Persons Act, 1946.

Judicial practice further reflects sensitivity to youthfulness in sentencing. Nigerian courts have been described as showing restraint when dealing with young offenders (Opara, 2014). In Commissioner of Police v Friday Idehen, the trial court imposed a custodial sentence with hard labour or a fine for assault occasioning harm. On appeal, the court substituted the sentence with corporal punishment after observing that the offender was an adolescent who required correction rather than imprisonment, particularly given that his recent departure from primary school had

not been disclosed at trial. The Child Rights Act of 2003 and the Children and Young Persons Act remain central to the protection of the welfare and rights of children in conflict with the law and emphasise rehabilitation over punishment (Igbuzor, 2019). Despite these statutory safeguards, enforcement remains uneven across Nigerian states. Variations in resources, institutional capacity, and commitment have weakened protective and rehabilitative structures. In practice, juveniles are still tried as adults in some courts and detained alongside adult offenders, exposing them to violence and further criminalisation (Alemika, 2017). Access to legal representation is often limited, and detention conditions frequently lack educational, vocational, and psychological support needed for reform (Shajobi-Ibikunle, 2014). These realities undermine the rehabilitative purpose of correctional centres. This study therefore proceeds on the premise that rehabilitation remains the appropriate sentencing focus for adolescents in conflict with the law and examines how AI based tools may support this objective within Nigeria's legal and correctional framework.

Systemic Deficiencies and Their Impact on Rehabilitation:

The Nigerian juvenile justice system operates under policy choices that place emphasis on punishment rather than rehabilitation. This orientation has encouraged the use of incarceration as the primary response to juvenile offending, despite the rehabilitative needs of young offenders. Correctional facilities for juveniles remain poorly equipped to provide education, skills training, or psychological support, and many lack personnel with appropriate training, particularly counsellors and rehabilitation officers (Shajobi-Ibikunle, 2014). These gaps limit the system's ability to respond to the social and developmental needs of adolescents in conflict with the law.

Social stigma further weakens rehabilitation outcomes. Juvenile offenders often face rejection from families and communities, which obstructs reintegration after release. Ibrahim (2020) notes that this rejection places reformed adolescents at risk of renewed offending. Poor infrastructure and overcrowding within correctional facilities compound these challenges and shift the experience of custody away from reform toward repeated exposure to harmful influences. Persistent understaffing and negative social attitudes reinforce these conditions and highlight the need for

structural reform that addresses both institutional weaknesses and social barriers to reintegration.

The Emergence of Community-Based Initiatives and Their Limitations:

Despite the limitations of Nigeria's formal juvenile justice system, community based initiatives have emerged to support the rehabilitation and reintegration of adolescents in conflict with the law (Abdulmalik et al., 2019). Programs run by organisations such as Teen Challenge Nigeria focus on recovery and behavioural change among adolescents with substance related challenges (Abdulmalik et al., 2019). Other groups, including the Dream Again Prison and Youth Foundation, provide support in the form of skills training, education, addiction recovery, and reintegration support. These initiatives seek to build self worth and practical capacity among young offenders to support their return to society.

While these efforts play an important role, their impact remains limited. Community based programmes often operate with restricted funding, limited manpower, and narrow coverage, which constrains their ability to address juvenile delinquency at scale. Interventions such as counselling, anger management, and creative therapy, though useful, cannot on their own address the complex psychological and social factors associated with offending behaviour, even among adult offenders (Shajobi-Ibikunle, 2023). As a result, many adolescents do not receive sustained or comprehensive support. Grassroots initiatives also struggle to maintain depth and continuity in their interventions due to reliance on voluntary funding and informal structures. Atilila et al. (2021) identify persistent challenges such as attention deficits, disengagement from education, and the absence of non custodial correctional options within the juvenile justice system. These constraints limit the effectiveness of community responses and reinforce the need for broader structural support to complement community level rehabilitation efforts.

The Concept of Rehabilitation within Sociology:

Rehabilitation draws on both sociological and criminological perspectives. From a sociological standpoint, rehabilitation extends beyond the reduction of crime and focuses on restoring an individual's capacity to participate in society. It involves

behavioural change and social adjustment that enable the person to disengage from offending and resume participation in community life with access to rights and opportunities available to other members of society.

Within this view, rehabilitation operates through ongoing interaction between the individual and the social environment. Social relationships, community structures, and institutional responses shape the process through which the person moves from deviance toward social acceptance. Rehabilitation therefore depends not only on individual conduct but also on the conditions and systems that influence reintegration.

3.1 Theoretical Framework

This study applies a sociological lens to adolescent rehabilitation by treating offending as a social outcome shaped by family relations, peer groups, economic conditions, and justice system practices. Rehabilitation, within this frame, refers to a process that restores an adolescent's ability to participate in social life rather than a narrow effort to correct individual behaviour. This approach reflects the Nigerian context, where weak support structures, limited services, and social stigma often undermine rehabilitative efforts and restrict reintegration.

Within this framework, functionalist thinking views rehabilitation as a means through which adolescents return to socially productive roles, a process that depends on access to education, counselling, and skills development. Where these supports are absent, punitive responses tend to reinforce repeat offending. Social Learning Theory further explains how confinement with other offenders can reinforce harmful behaviour through peer influence, making sustained exposure to alternative behaviours and consistent feedback necessary for change. Labelling Theory adds that persistent identification as an offender can shape self perception and weaken reintegration, especially in settings where community rejection is common. Conflict Theory highlights how social inequality and power imbalance influence both who enters the juvenile justice system and how rehabilitation is delivered, raising concerns about exclusion and unequal treatment. Taken together, these perspectives provide a unified basis for examining the use of AI supported rehabilitation tools,

while also drawing attention to the need for fairness, contextual awareness, and human oversight in their use.

4.1 A Global Overview of Artificial Intelligence in Rehabilitation and Behavioural Therapy

AI For General Rehabilitation

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into rehabilitation shows its promise for improving patient care and outcomes. In physical and occupational therapy, AI is assisting in creating customised treatment plans through in-depth analytics of patient records, thus allowing precision treatment. AI systems may also track patient progress through wearable devices and give feedback needed in real time, thus enabling modifications to therapy routines as required. (Topol, 2019; Esteva et al., 2021) The virtual reality (VR) rehabilitation systems, AI-guided, are also emerging and provide great support for rehabilitation with respect to motor recovery and functional training. In addition, the successful application of AI across these diverse rehabilitation domains indicates that it can be adapted to the specific adolescent challenges of rehabilitation for skill development, therapeutic support, and remote servicing.

AI for Mental Health and Behavioural Therapy in Adolescents:

The use of artificial intelligence in addressing the mental health and therapy needs of adolescents has received growing attention, particularly in response to rising demand and limited access to conventional services. AI driven chatbots and virtual therapy tools now support mental health care by engaging users through text or voice based interaction, which can offer a sense of support and continuity (Fitzpatrick et al., 2017; Inkster et al., 2018). These tools appeal to adolescents who may avoid face to face therapy due to stigma, cost, or limited availability of professionals. AI systems can also support personalised therapy by adjusting content based on user responses and tracking progress over time. This allows for structured and responsive support between scheduled sessions. At the same time, concerns remain about the absence of human judgment and emotional responsiveness, the risk of inaccurate assessment without professional oversight, and challenges related to data privacy and security.

These concerns indicate that AI should support, rather than replace, professional care and should operate within clear ethical and supervisory boundaries, particularly in rehabilitative settings involving adolescents.

AI for Skill Development and Social Reintegration in Youth:

Current research has indicated that AI is also being explored for skill development and social reintegration among juvenile delinquents. AI-powered learning platforms can design educational content to individual strengths, preferences, and learning styles, allowing adolescents to learn at their own pace (Luckin et al., 2016; Holmes et al., 2019). Also, these platforms deliver vocational training programs that are relevant to labour market demands, equipping young people with skills for future employment. Furthermore, AI can be used to identify youth prone to commit civil offences and proffer rehabilitation programs based on risk-factor analysis. AI-driven digital platforms can be used in social integration to connect adolescents with community-based support networks, mentors, and productive social activities. These interventions may aid and enhance positive connections and navigate the challenges of reintegration.

5.1 Framing AI for Adolescent Rehabilitation in Nigeria

Personalised Risk Assessment and Needs Identification:

AI systems can perform better risk evaluations compared to conventional approaches, which rely on estimated values and outcomes and consume tremendous amounts of resources. AI systems can seamlessly evaluate a juvenile delinquent's demographic profile, criminal record, and behavioural patterns. Additionally, AI can also be used to determine the specific underlying issues of each adolescent, including but not limited to mental health concerns such as PTSD, substance abuse, educational neglect, familial issues, and more. A comprehensive understanding of the risk factors as well as the needs of an individual juvenile delinquent is essential for customising rehabilitation plans to their needs. The Nigerian system currently lacks the means to provide such individual assessments due to resource constraints and the dearth of adequate specialised manpower. AI, in contrast, could utilise available data, considering specific temperamental and psychological patterns to provide a more

nuanced and unbiased approach to understanding their unique individual situations to aid in developing better targeted and more effective strategies.

Tailored Intervention Programs and Therapeutic Support

Virtual therapeutic frameworks and AI-powered chatbots can help mitigate rehabilitation problems of youths in Nigeria within the scope of juvenile justice. Designed appropriately, these systems can conduct evidence-based behavioural therapies such as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and even mindfulness for trauma, aggression, substance abuse, caring, and other mental health disorders common in this population. These AI services can be tailored at any point in time to adjust the content and speed to the user's progress, level of participation, and struggles highlighted during the examination phase. AI-supported therapy has the potential to address a larger population of adolescents who are in need, but AI technology, however advanced, will not be able to substitute detailed engagement with therapists; rather, it can be used to back up the therapy by helping learners practice therapeutic materials during the periods between the scheduled meetings.

Enhanced Engagement and Motivation:

Adolescents engage with technology easily and consistently. Therefore, AI-based applications can be a good source for increasing motivation for rehabilitation. Gaming elements such as points, badges, and challenges can urge participants to take ownership of the rehabilitation process. It can also improve engagement and enjoyment of the change process. It metamorphoses from a streamlined and predictable curriculum to an entertaining procedure. AI systems can automate customised feedback from trainers and recipients of rehabilitation for consistent improvement of the transformation process. Consistent participation in rehabilitation can also be encouraged with virtual compliment systems and virtual progress rewards. This strategy could provide the solution to conventional rehabilitation interventions, which often fail to maintain long-term interest.

Remote Support and Accessibility:

AI-powered tools promise enhanced accessibility, especially in remote regions with restricted access to professionals and rehabilitation clinics, as faced by Nigeria.

Empowering adolescents to participate in programs such as therapy sessions, educational classes, and skill-development workshops through community centres, or their homes via smartphones or similar digital devices is plausible. AI can enhance interconnectivity and communication when rehabilitation officials and family cannot be physically present. Having the facility for remote support can transform the way therapy is conducted and will allow many more adolescents in Nigeria to contribute, where interventions would not be accessible.

Skill Development and Vocational Training:

Achieving successful adolescent rehabilitation requires the provision of life skills geared towards facilitating young people's sociocultural and workforce reintegration. AI can be used to design and roll out tailored training (educational and vocational) based on indicated interests, skills, and learning preferences. For adolescents without interests, AI engagements can be used to stir passions for profitable endeavours. AI educational technologies adapt to each adolescent's curriculum and instructional pace, adjusting teaching strategies based on mastery and ongoing challenges. AI offers opportunities for personalised instruction and training which address this gap, dramatically increasing the prospects of sustainable rehabilitation and economic self-sufficiency for the adolescents associated with the rehabilitation programs.

Addressing Systemic Challenges with AI:

Outside of direct engagement with adolescents, artificial intelligence can assist with addressing some of the systemic problems of the Nigerian juvenile justice system. For example, AI algorithms can be used to manage and analyse data associated with juvenile offenders. AI can also be used to improve the efficiency of trial, sentencing, and parole systems and to identify emerging challenges in juvenile facilities. It can be utilised for large and extended data analysis to identify patterns in juvenile delinquency. This can offer insights to shape the development of more effective policies, frameworks, and intervention mechanisms. In addition, AI can ease the burden faced by social workers, community service, and probation officers by automating non-core functions such as case scheduling and data input, as well as

providing actionable insights based on case data to help staff prioritise cases that require tailored support.

Novelty in the Nigerian Context:

The application of AI technology for the rehabilitation of adolescents is a new phenomenon. The exploration of AI in rehab contexts around the world offers possibilities of creativity with its implementation in Nigeria's culturally diverse and infrastructure-challenged setting. With such diversity, there is ingenuity to be employed in myriads of languages and cultures. This transition offers an alternative to the generic rehabilitation strategies that are used in traditional systems and are not suitable for Nigerian adolescent offenders.

6.1 Ethical Considerations of Implementing AI in Adolescent Rehabilitation in Nigeria

Data Privacy and Security:

Integrating AI in the context of youth rehabilitation in Nigeria raises important issues involving data privacy and security frameworks. (Floridi et al., 2018). Privacy protocols govern the integrity of the data which may include personally identifiable information, mental health evaluations, and rehabilitation records for minors, as well as the breaches of confidentiality, data leakage, abuse, and illicit modifications. Any AI technologies designed to process this information should observe strict compliance with relevant privacy laws, applying, at a minimum, industry-standard protective tools including encryption and access restrictions to maintain confidentiality and integrity. Due to the sensitive nature of this information alongside the frail condition of the adolescents, the consequences that flow from a breach of privacy are enormous. Thus, effective control mechanisms with regard to privacy and data management will aid in trust development while ensuring responsible governance of AI technologies in this case.

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness:

A significant social issue regarding the application of AI for teenage rehabilitation services in Nigeria is the fairness of the AI algorithms employed. (Binns, 2018;

Eubanks, 2018). AI systems function through algorithms that undergo training using specific datasets. In this case, if the datasets are biased along the lines of class, ethnicity, or any of the other several factors endemic to Nigeria, these biases will be sustained, and worse yet, magnified in the AI's risk estimations and predictions. Consider, for example, algorithms that are constructed mostly based on city datasets. Such algorithms will morph their logic onto adolescents of the countryside without systematically incorporating those calculations. That is why AI systems targeted at Nigerian adolescent population should be armed with representative benchmark datasets. Besides, uncontrolled bias and supervision and utilization of fairness-oriented AI models present the risk that disadvantaged equitable rehabilitation access opportunities will be implemented to all adolescents.

Informed Consent and Autonomy:

In Nigeria obtaining appropriate consent regarding the use of AI for rehabilitation purposes with adolescents has several complex ethical dilemmas, especially because of age and potential vulnerabilities (Vayena et al., 2018). Affirmative steps need to be taken to ensure that both the minors and guardians understand how AI will be utilized, what information will be gathered, the reasons for its implementation, the benefits and drawbacks of utilizing such technology. Attention should be given towards giving age-appropriate explanations, avoiding technical language, addressing any built-in authority gaps between the adolescents and the rehabilitation authorities. Moreover, the minors should have the right to refuse participation and not suffer any consequences. Culturally sensitive informed consent processes need to be applied while also accommodating the varying levels of understanding and digital literacy within the Nigerian population.

Transparency and Explainability

Concerns about AI in rehabilitation often focus on accountability and trust (Doshi-Velez & Kim, 2017). Complex models sometimes operate as 'black boxes,' limiting transparency in how decisions are made. For rehabilitation practitioners, adolescents, and their families, understanding the algorithms that drive the decisions made by AI, as well as the data used to feed them, is crucial for trust and acceptance of

technology. Some sophisticated AI models create obstacles to transparency due to their “black box” characteristics. It is, therefore, crucial to use explainable AI (XAI) approaches that can illuminate the reasoning processes of AI systems. This kind of transparency is needed to expose and correct hidden errors, biases, and mistrust in AI systems while promoting confidence and comprehension from all the participants involved in the rehabilitation process.

Consequences for Human Relations and Therapeutic Connections

Over-reliance on AI may weaken interpersonal bonds and reduce empathy in therapeutic contexts (Turkle, 2015). It could also foster a lack of empathy. The complexity of the rehabilitation services and the emotional sensitivity provided by human professionals cannot be achieved by AI technologies. Thus, the use of AI should seek to complement the deep aspects of human professional connection instead of replacing them wholly.

Accountability and Oversight:

The implementation of AI in adolescent rehabilitation requires delineating responsibilities and instituting strict oversight to ensure ethical governance (Calo, 2017; Whittlestone et al., 2019). This includes governance frameworks where responsibilities for the use and automation tied to AI are addressed, including responsibility allocation for resolving issues or harm that stems from its use. Independent bodies consisting of specialists in AI ethics, child advocacy, and rehabilitation would be useful to ensure compliance with ethical frameworks.

7.1 Integrating AI Across the Rehabilitation Continuum

Intake and Assessment

Artificial Intelligence can be applied to intake and assessment. Algorithms can capture and analyze information like age, previous offenses, socio-economic and educational engagements, and prior behavioral assessments to formulate a biopsychosocial comprehension of an adolescent’s risk factors, needs, and strengths. Processes such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) can be used to study the transcripts of preliminary interviews and analyze the themes, emotions, and concerns

relevant to adolescents. Through this method, the autopilot intake system will not only reduce the AI-generated workload but also allow the professionals to focus on building rapport and personalized planning that entails professional collaboration with AI-driven insights.

Tailored Plan Construction for Individual Interventions

Incorporation of evidence-based rehabilitation processes should be customizable to the sociocultural context of Nigeria. AI can recommend pertinent therapeutic modules, skill acquisition, and vocational training programs appropriate for the adolescent's age, interest, ability, and needs. The possibilities for adaptive planning also appear to be substantial, with AI suggesting modifications and monitoring the adolescent's progress and participation in the program. This can refine the rehabilitation plan to enhance engagement and impact. AI can assist in developing personalized plans, but decisions involving cultural integration and local professional insights may still require human input.

AI-Supported Therapy and Counselling

Virtual therapy services and chatbots can facilitate real-time and ongoing therapeutic engagement and conduct psychoeducational sessions on anger control, conflict control, and preventive measures related to substance abuse under the guidance of human therapists. AI technologies can perform sentiment analysis on adolescents' interactions as well as their engagement with the platform to monitor their emotional state and AI-enabled therapy progress in real time. This therapy model reinforces traditional counselling by offering adolescents continual feedback on therapy skills during the interval period between appointments.

AI for Skill Development and Vocational Training

AI can guarantee the harnessing of different teaching methods, and books aid the understanding of basic concepts. Concerning vocational training, AI can ensure placement in programs useful to the Nigerian labour market so that adolescents acquire relevant competencies. AI has the additional capability for skill acquisition

monitoring, pinpointing skills needing more focus, and designing non-linear learning routes for postgraduate skills.

Facilitating Social Reintegration:

AI-integrated applications can help adolescents to engage with community-based support systems, mentors, and prosocial activities, offering sustained and multi-dimensional support. Reintegration support services can also be publicized by AI, including subsidized housing, employment openings, and mental health services.

Addressing the Nigerian Context:

The social and cultural frameworks that shape adolescents' attitudes and Nigeria's multilingual landscape underscore the need for AI systems akin to these essential social considerations. Infrastructural deficits in some regions of Nigeria, unstable internet access, require AI technologies to be developed to function effectively in low-bandwidth settings and with power interruptions. The integration of AI into pre-existing community-based models of rehabilitation, with a focus on harnessing local knowledge and skills, is required for long-term impact and effectiveness of technological solutions. AI technology created for other contexts cannot be applied in Nigeria without significant revision, adaptation, and contextualization for such technologies to be beneficial.

8.1 Best Practices

Collaborative Approach and Stakeholder Engagement:

Implementing AI programs for the rehabilitation of adolescents in Nigeria requires a well-structured collaboration. These collaborations need to include government agencies working in juvenile justice, Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) with an active interest in rehabilitation, local leaders with valuable community insights, AI solution tech developers, and rehabilitation experts with practical experience working with incarcerated adolescents. Most importantly, the adolescents and their families need to take part in the development process so that their needs and other relevant issues are adequately attended to. This type of collaborative work ensures that the adopters and users have a broad base of support and guarantees that targeted

interventions towards the adoption of AI in rehabilitative practices in Nigeria will work.

Capacity Building and Training

The capacity building and training programs are needed to prepare for adequate usage and integration of AI tools in the Nigerian practice of adolescent rehabilitation. Hence, rehabilitation professionals such as social workers and counsellors, among others, delivering psychotherapy and intervention programs, will need training on how they can employ AI-powered platforms to monitor progress, interpret the insights achieved from the platforms, and integrate them into the existing workflows. Increased awareness and skills development will be essential to unlocking the greatest achievable potential of AI technologies, as well as the proper utilization of those technologies.

Phased Implementation and Pilot Programs

Nigeria's integration of AI into adolescent rehabilitation should be staggered. It should begin with selective pilot projects in some centers or parts of a country. These pilot projects should be put to the test to offer intervention options in a pragmatic environment, thus producing practical data on their effectiveness, as well as disclosing many other aspects that require refinement or improvement, which were not expected. Robust assessments of pilot roll-outs are important for the review of AI systems. The lessons learned from the first phases conducted will help with informed decisions to improve the system and adopt more rigorous strategies for implementation.

Ensuring Ethical Oversight and Governance

As with all emerging technologies, clear guidelines and a well-defined ethics policy for the usage of AI in the rehabilitation of adolescents in Nigeria is imperative. This encompasses principles of privacy, data protection, algorithmic discrimination, consent, disclosure, and responsibility. Established independent bodies should ensure that ethics are strictly adhered to.

Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation

An intensive impact assessment and monitoring system should be introduced to examine the effectiveness of AI-enabled rehabilitation programs for adolescents in Nigeria. Longitudinal monitoring can track major milestones such as recidivism, mental health and wellness, skills development, and successful socio-economic reintegration.

9.1 Potential Benefits and Challenges In AI Applications in Adolescent Rehabilitation

Rehabilitation Stage	Potential AI Application	Potential Benefits	Potential Challenges
Intake and Assessment	AI-powered risk assessment tools, NLP analysis of interviews	More accurate and personalized risk assessment, identification of specific needs, and streamlined process.	Potential for algorithmic bias, reliance on data quality, and ethical concerns regarding data privacy.
Intervention Planning	AI-driven personalized plan generators	Tailored and evidence-based plans, consideration of individual needs and interests, and potential for adaptive planning.	Over-reliance on algorithms, need for human oversight and customization, and ensuring cultural relevance.
Therapy and Counselling	AI chatbots, virtual therapy platforms	Increased accessibility, reduced stigma, consistent support, and supplementary to human therapists.	Lack of empathy and human connection, potential for misinterpretation, and ethical concerns regarding data privacy and security.
Skill Development	AI-powered personalized learning	Tailored educational content, adaptive learning pathways, enhanced	Digital divide and access to technology, need for culturally relevant content,

Rehabilitation Stage	Potential AI Application	Potential Benefits	Potential Challenges
& Training	platforms	engagement, and relevant vocational training.	and ensuring quality and effectiveness of virtual training.
Social Reintegration	AI platforms for connecting with support networks	Facilitating connections with mentors and community resources, providing information and support, and addressing stigma.	Ensuring safety and privacy on online platforms, the need for human facilitation and support, and addressing potential for misinformation.

10.1 Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has examined the potential role of Artificial Intelligence in adolescent rehabilitation in Nigeria using a sociological and doctrinal approach. It has shown that rehabilitative efforts remain constrained by punitive practices, uneven enforcement of child protection laws, limited professional capacity, and weak access to education, therapy, and skills training. Drawing on sociological theories, the paper has shown that rehabilitation requires structured behavioural support, social reintegration, and identity repair, areas where AI tools may support assessment, therapy, skill development, and remote access to services. At the same time, the analysis has identified risks linked to data protection, bias, consent, transparency, and reduced human contact, which require clear ethical control. The study is limited by its reliance on doctrinal analysis and secondary sources, which do not capture institutional practice, lived experience, or measurable outcomes within Nigerian juvenile justice settings. Future research should therefore adopt empirical methods, including qualitative studies with adolescents and practitioners and quantitative evaluations of pilot programs, to assess the practical impact of AI-supported rehabilitation on behavioural outcomes, reintegration, and repeat offending. Policymakers should approach AI as a support tool rather than a substitute for

professional judgment, develop clear regulatory and ethical standards before deployment, and ensure that rehabilitation personnel receive appropriate training to safeguard the rights and welfare of adolescents.

References

1. Abdulmalik, J., Ani, C., Ajuwon, A. J., Omigbodun, O., & Gureje, O. (2019). Mental health system governance in Nigeria: Challenges, opportunities, and strategies for improvement. *Global Mental Health, 6*, e9. https://doi.org/10.1017/gmh.2019.7

2. Alemika, E. E. O. (2017). *Administration of justice and the rule of law in Nigeria*. Centre for Democracy and Development.

3. Angbazo, A. D., & Dominic, A. G. (2025). The Death Penalty in Nigeria: A Justifiable Punishment or A Violation of Human Rights? *Nexus Global Research Journal of Multidisciplinary, 1*(3), 166-175

4. Atilola, O., Ayinde, O. O., Oladimeji, O., & Adeyemi, O. (2021). Juvenile justice and mental health in Nigeria: A review of current practice and policy gaps. *African Journal of Criminology and Justice Studies, 14*(1), 1–20.

5. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Prentice Hall.

6. Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. Free Press.

7. Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 149–159. https://doi.org/10.1145/3287560.3287598

8. Black, H. C. (2004). *Black's law dictionary* (8th ed.). Thomson West.

9. Calo, R. (2017). Artificial intelligence policy: A primer and roadmap. *University of Bologna Law Review, 2*(1), 1–29.

10. Commissioner of Police v. Friday Idehen, (1973) NNLR 19.

11. Doshi-Velez, F., & Kim, B. (2017). Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning. *arXiv Preprint*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08608

12. Durkheim, E. (1993). *The division of labour in society* (W. D. Halls, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1893)

13. Ebuk, L. E. & Offiong, E. E (2025). ETHICAL LEADERSHIP IN UTILIZING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT: CHALLENGES AND THE WAY FORWARD. *MSI Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (MSIJMR), 2*(10), 1-17

14. Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., Kuleshov, V., DePristo, M., Chou, K., Cui, C., Corrado, G., Thrun, S., & Dean, J. (2021). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nature Medicine, 27*(1), 24–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-01196-1

15. Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*. St. Martin's Press.

16. Fatayi-Williams, A. (1970). *Sentencing and the Nigerian criminal process*. Nigerian Institute of Advanced Legal Studies.

17. Fitzpatrick, K. K., Darcy, A., & Vierhile, M. (2017). Delivering cognitive behavior therapy to young adults with symptoms of depression and anxiety using a fully automated conversational agent. *JMIR Mental Health, 4*(2), e19. https://doi.org/10.2196/mental.7785

18. Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

19. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

20. Ibrahim, A. (2020). Social stigma and reintegration challenges of juvenile offenders in Nigeria. *Journal of African Social Issues, 23*(2), 45–60.

21. Igbuzor, O. (2019). *Child rights protection and implementation challenges in Nigeria*. ActionAid Nigeria.

22. Inkster, B., Sarda, S., & Subramanian, V. (2018). An empathy-driven, conversational artificial intelligence agent (Wysa) for digital mental well-being: Real-world data evaluation. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth, 6*(11), e12106. https://doi.org/10.2196/12106

23. Isana, N. O. (2025). The Impact of AI and Automation Policies on Employee Development: A Thematic Approach. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 44*(8), 81-97.

24. Isana, N. O. (2023). NIGERIA AND TAIWAN: THE EVOLUTION AND DYNAMICS OF A RELATIONSHIP; 1960-2020. *AWKA JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS (AJIREL), 1*(1).

25. Lipsey, M. W. (2009). The primary factors that characterize effective interventions with juvenile offenders: A meta-analytic overview. *Victims & Offenders, 4*(2), 124–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564880802612573

26. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

27. Opara, C. (2014). Sentencing youthful offenders under Nigerian criminal law. *Nigerian Current Law Review, 2*, 87–102.

28. Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2022). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

29. Shader, M. (2019). *Risk factors for delinquency: An overview*. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

30. Shajobi-Ibikunle, D. G., & Kassim, R. M. (2023). Domestic violence: a critical review of the Violence Against Persons Prohibition Act (VAPP) 2015. *Encyclopedia of Domestic Violence,* 1-11.

31. Shajobi-Ibikunle, G., & Oluwadamilola, S. O. D. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Corporate Criminality: Emerging Challenges in Liability and Accountability. *Law and Social Justice Review, 5*(3).

32. Shajobi-Ibikunle, G., & Oseghale, D. O. S. (2025). A re-examination of the legal framework for the protection of shareholders' rights in Nigeria. *LexScriptio: A Journal of the Department of Jurisprudence and Public Law, 2*(2), 1–27.

33. Shajobi-Ibikunle, G., & Shajobi-Oseghale, D. O. (2025). Legal regime of corporate governance and shareholders' rights in Nigeria. *Journal of Commercial and Property Law, 12*(1), 156–165.

34. Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin Press.

35. UNICEF. (2021). *Children in conflict with the law in Nigeria: A situation analysis*. United Nations Children's Fund.

36. Vayena, E., Blasimme, A., & Cohen, I. G. (2018). Machine learning in medicine: Addressing ethical challenges. *PLoS Medicine, 15*(11), e1002689. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002689

37. Whittlestone, J., Nyrup, R., Alexandrova, A., Cave, S., & Ó hÉigeartaigh, S. (2019). Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence: A roadmap for research. *Nuffield Foundation*.