MSI Journal of
Economics and Business Management (MSIJEBM)

Human–Machine Collaboration, Workplace Learning, and Organizational Restructuring in the Fourth Industrial Revolution

Edime YUNUSA[1*], Ejuchegahi Anthony ANGWAOMAODOKO[1], Timothy Abayomi ATOYEBI, Ph. D[1]

[1*]Department of Sociology, Faculty of Social Sciences, Prince Abubakar Audu University, Anyigba, Kogi State – Nigeria.

*** Correspondence:** Edime YUNUSA

The authors declare that no funding was received for this work.

Received: 13-March-2025
Accepted: 23-April-2026
Published: 25-April-2026

Copyright © 2026, Authors retain copyright. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ (CC BY 4.0 deed)

This article is published in the **MSI Journal of Economics and Business Management (MSIJEBM)**

ISSN 3049-141X (Online).

The journal is managed and published by MSI Publishers.

Volume: 3, Issue: 4 (April-2026)

ABSTRACT: The transformation of work driven by advanced digital technologies has intensified the need to understand how human–machine collaboration shapes learning and organizational change, particularly within the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. This paper examined the role of human–machine collaboration in redefining work processes and employee roles, evaluated how workplace learning supports employees' adaptation to technology-enabled environments, and analyzed how organizations restructure their systems and operations in response to these changes. The study was anchored on socio-technical systems theory, which explains the interdependence between human and technological components in achieving organizational effectiveness. An analytical literature review approach was adopted, involving the systematic selection, evaluation, and synthesis of recent empirical and theoretical studies published between 2020 and 2026. The findings revealed that human–machine collaboration leads to the redistribution of tasks, with employees increasingly performing cognitive and supervisory roles, while machines handle routine and data-driven functions. Workplace learning emerges as a critical mechanism

for adaptation, with both formal training and experiential learning enabling employees to interact effectively with intelligent systems. The paper further showed that organizations respond through structural and operational adjustments, including role redefinition, workflow redesign, and the adoption of flexible organizational models. The paper concluded that the success of human–machine collaboration depends on the alignment of technological adoption with continuous learning and deliberate organizational restructuring. The paper therefore recommended among others that they should be institutionalization of continuous learning systems, strategic job redesign, and integrated restructuring approaches to enhance workforce adaptability and organizational performance in technology-driven environments.

Keywords: *Human–Machine Collaboration, Workplace Learning, Organizational Restructuring, Fourth Industrial Revolution.*

Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has intensified the integration of advanced digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, robotics, and data-driven systems into productive activities across sectors. This phase of industrial change is marked by the increasing presence of human–machine collaboration, where workers interact directly with intelligent systems in decision-making, production, and service delivery. Recent global evidence indicates that organizations are not merely automating tasks but redesigning work processes to combine human judgment with machine efficiency. The *World Economic Forum* reports that nearly 75% of organizations globally have adopted or plan to adopt AI-driven technologies, with expectations that human–machine collaboration will dominate job execution by 2027 (World Economic Forum, 2023). This shift is altering traditional notions of work and skill requirements, especially as machines increasingly perform routine and analytical tasks while humans focus on problem-solving, creativity, and interpersonal functions.

The transformation has significant implications for workplace learning. Learning is no longer confined to formal training structures but is embedded in daily interactions with intelligent systems. Workers are required to continuously update their

competencies to remain relevant in evolving work environments. Empirical studies show that firms investing in digital technologies simultaneously increase investments in employee training, particularly in digital literacy and adaptive skills (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2023). Similarly, research by the *International Labour Organization* highlights that continuous reskilling and upskilling have become central strategies for maintaining workforce productivity in technologically driven workplaces (International Labour Organization, 2023). In emerging economies, including Nigeria, the adoption of digital technologies has been uneven, yet sectors such as banking, telecommunications, and education are increasingly integrating AI tools, thereby reshaping skill demands and learning practices within organizations.

Human–machine collaboration also drives organizational restructuring. Firms are moving away from rigid hierarchical systems toward more flexible and technology-enabled structures that support collaboration between human workers and intelligent machines. This restructuring often involves redefining roles, flattening organizational hierarchies, and creating cross-functional teams supported by digital platforms. Evidence from firm-level studies suggests that technology adoption is associated with job redesign rather than outright job elimination, with tasks being reallocated between humans and machines (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2022). However, this transition is uneven across regions. While advanced economies have developed institutional mechanisms to support workforce adaptation, many organizations in developing contexts face challenges related to infrastructure, policy support, and skill gaps.

In emerging economies, the effects of these changes are particularly significant due to existing structural constraints in labour markets and education systems. For instance, the World Bank notes that although digital technologies present opportunities for productivity growth in Sub-Saharan Africa, the lack of adequate digital skills limits the extent to which workers can effectively collaborate with machines (World Bank, 2023). Case evidence from sectors such as financial services in Nigeria shows that automation and AI-based decision systems have improved efficiency but also increased the demand for specialized skills, thereby widening the

gap between skilled and unskilled workers. These developments underscore the need to examine how human–machine collaboration influences workplace learning processes and organizational restructuring, particularly in contexts where institutional support for technological transitions remains limited.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the growing adoption of advanced technologies in workplaces, there remains a significant gap in understanding how human–machine collaboration translates into effective workplace learning and organizational restructuring, particularly in emerging economies. While global reports emphasize the benefits of AI and automation in improving productivity, there is limited empirical clarity on how workers adapt to these technologies within organizational settings where training systems and learning infrastructures are weak. Evidence indicates that although organizations invest in digital tools, corresponding investments in structured learning systems often lag behind, leading to mismatches between technological capabilities and human competencies (OECD, 2023). This mismatch raises concerns about the sustainability of productivity gains and the inclusiveness of technological change.

Furthermore, organizational restructuring driven by technological adoption has created uncertainties regarding job roles, career progression, and employment stability. Studies show that while some workers benefit from enhanced roles that involve higher-order cognitive tasks, others face displacement or deskilling due to automation (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2022). In many developing contexts, including Nigeria, these challenges are intensified by limited access to continuous learning opportunities and weak institutional frameworks for workforce development. As a result, workers may struggle to effectively collaborate with machines, thereby reducing the potential benefits of technological integration.

Another critical issue lies in the uneven distribution of opportunities for workplace learning. Access to training and reskilling programs is often concentrated among highly skilled workers, leaving a significant portion of the workforce vulnerable to exclusion. The International Labour Organization (2023) reports that workers in lower-skilled occupations are less likely to receive training in digital competencies,

even though they are more exposed to automation risks. This imbalance not only affects individual career outcomes but also constrains organizational performance, as firms may be unable to fully utilize the capabilities of advanced technologies due to skill shortages.

In addition, there is insufficient context-specific research examining how organizations in emerging economies restructure in response to human–machine collaboration. Much of the existing literature is based on evidence from advanced economies, where institutional conditions differ significantly. This creates a gap in knowledge regarding how organizations in contexts such as Nigeria navigate technological transitions, manage workforce adaptation, and redesign organizational structures. Without such understanding, policies and strategies aimed at supporting technological integration may fail to address local realities.

Given these concerns, there is a need for systematic investigation into the dynamics of human–machine collaboration, workplace learning, and organizational restructuring within the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Such an inquiry is essential for identifying the conditions under which technological adoption can lead to inclusive and sustainable organizational outcomes, particularly in environments characterized by resource constraints and evolving labour market structures.

Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between human–machine collaboration, workplace learning, and organizational restructuring in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. The specific objectives includes the following:

1. To assess the role of human–machine collaboration in shaping work processes and employee roles in organizations.
2. To examine how workplace learning practices support employees' adaptation to human–machine collaborative environments.
3. To analyze how organizations restructure their systems and operations in response to the integration of human–machine collaboration.

Materials and Methods

This paper adopted an analytical literature review approach, which involved the systematic identification, evaluation, and synthesis of existing empirical and theoretical studies relevant to human–machine collaboration, workplace learning, and organizational restructuring. The process began with the purposive selection of recent peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2020 and 2026, ensuring relevance, credibility, and alignment with the study objectives. Following established guidelines for rigorous literature reviews, the selected studies were critically examined to identify recurring themes, methodological approaches, and areas of convergence and divergence (Snyder, 2019; Torraco, 2020).

The analysis phase focused on deconstructing the findings of individual studies to understand their contributions to the subject matter, while the synthesis phase integrated these insights to develop a coherent narrative that explains the relationships among the key variables. This approach allowed for the consolidation of fragmented evidence into a unified framework, providing a deeper understanding of how human–machine collaboration influences learning processes and organizational change. The method was particularly suitable for this paper as it facilitates theory development and identifies gaps in existing knowledge, thereby offering a foundation for future empirical investigations.

Literature Review

The literature was reviewed in tandem with the aim and objectives of the study and under conceptual review, empirical review and theoretical framework as follows:

Conceptual Review

Human–Machine Collaboration

Human–machine collaboration has been widely examined in recent literature as a work arrangement in which human capabilities are combined with intelligent systems to achieve shared task outcomes. Lim et al. (2024) define it as a situation where humans, robots, and the work environment interact as a tightly coupled system within a shared workspace to accomplish tasks, emphasizing coordination and safety

considerations. Extending this view, Ozman (2025) argues that human–machine collaboration has evolved beyond automation to a form of synergy where humans and intelligent technologies jointly perform cognitive and operational activities, particularly in decision-making and problem-solving contexts.

Similarly, recent empirical synthesis shows that such collaboration reshapes job roles by redistributing tasks between humans and machines based on comparative strengths, with humans focusing on adaptability and judgment while machines handle precision and repetition (Dörrenbächer et al., 2026). These perspectives converge on the idea that collaboration is not merely coexistence but structured interaction shaped by organizational and technological conditions. For the purpose of this study, human–machine collaboration is understood as the coordinated integration of human skills and intelligent machine capabilities within shared work systems to jointly execute tasks and decisions.

Workplace Learning

Workplace learning has received renewed attention in the context of digital transformation as organizations seek to align employee competencies with evolving job demands. Recent studies conceptualize workplace learning as a continuous, work-embedded process through which employees acquire and apply knowledge in response to changing task requirements and work environments. For instance, research on workplace change and employee development indicates that learning is driven by shifts in job design, responsibilities, and technological requirements, requiring workers to actively adapt through experience and interaction (Knippenberg & Sleebos, 2024).

In addition, studies on AI-enabled learning environments show that workplace learning increasingly incorporates digital tools such as intelligent tutoring systems and collaborative platforms that support self-directed and experiential learning (Bucher et al., 2024). These contributions highlight that workplace learning is both formal and informal, occurring through structured training as well as daily engagement with new technologies. Drawing from these positions, this study adopts workplace learning as a continuous and practice-based process through which

employees develop, update, and apply competencies within technology-driven work settings.

Organizational Restructuring

Organizational restructuring refers to deliberate changes in organizational design, roles, and processes aimed at improving efficiency and aligning with environmental demands, particularly technological change. Recent scholarship indicates that the integration of artificial intelligence and automation is a key driver of such restructuring, leading organizations to redesign workflows, redistribute responsibilities, and modify hierarchical arrangements. Murire (2024) explains that AI adoption alters work practices and organizational culture by reshaping how tasks are organized and executed, often resulting in more flexible and technology-supported structures.

Complementing this, emerging research on human–machine workforce redesign suggests that restructuring involves the reconfiguration of both human and technological resources to ensure alignment between organizational goals and technological capabilities (Kot & Leszczyński, 2022). These perspectives emphasize that restructuring is not limited to structural change but includes adjustments in roles, authority, and coordination mechanisms. In this study, organizational restructuring is defined as the systematic reconfiguration of organizational roles, processes, and structures in response to the integration of advanced technologies and evolving work requirements.

The Fourth Industrial Revolution

The Fourth Industrial Revolution describes the current phase of industrial development characterized by the integration of digital, physical, and biological systems through technologies such as artificial intelligence, robotics, and data analytics. Recent academic discussions present it as a transformative period that is redefining production systems, labour markets, and organizational practices. Babashahi et al. (2024) argue that this phase is distinguished by the widespread application of AI and digital technologies that are fundamentally altering skill requirements and work organization. Similarly, Jemni et al. (2026) highlight that the

Fourth Industrial Revolution is driven by intelligent and connected systems that enable new forms of interaction between humans and machines across sectors, including education and industry. These accounts underscore the centrality of technological convergence and its implications for work and learning. Based on these contributions, this study conceptualized the Fourth Industrial Revolution as a stage of industrial transformation characterized by the integration of advanced digital technologies that reshape work processes, skill demands, and organizational structures.

The Role of Human–Machine Collaboration in Shaping Work Processes and Employee Roles in Organizations

Recent empirical research shows that human–machine collaboration is altering work processes through task redistribution, decision augmentation, and the redesign of job roles within organizations. Studies grounded in task-based theory demonstrate that digital technologies do not simply replace human labour but reorganize work by allocating routine and data-intensive tasks to machines while preserving non-routine, judgment-intensive functions for humans (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020; Autor & Reynolds, 2023). This shift has been observed in manufacturing, healthcare, and financial services, where intelligent systems are embedded into workflows to support accuracy, speed, and consistency.

For instance, in advanced manufacturing environments, collaborative robots ("cobots") are deployed alongside workers to perform repetitive assembly tasks, allowing human workers to focus on supervision, troubleshooting, and quality assurance (Jarrahi, 2021). Evidence from automotive production lines in Germany indicates that such collaboration has reduced error rates and improved productivity while simultaneously redefining operator roles toward higher-level coordination tasks (Klumpp et al., 2023).

Beyond task redistribution, human–machine collaboration is reshaping decision-making processes by integrating algorithmic systems into organizational routines. Algorithmic management systems, widely studied in platform-based work environments, assign, monitor, and evaluate tasks using data-driven models, thereby

influencing how employees perform their roles (Kellogg et al., 2020). While these systems enhance efficiency and transparency, they also constrain worker autonomy and require new forms of interaction between employees and digital systems. In financial services, for example, algorithmic credit scoring tools assist loan officers in decision-making, yet final judgments often remain human-led, illustrating a hybrid decision structure (Babina et al., 2024). Empirical findings from U.S. firms adopting AI technologies reveal that organizations using AI for decision support experience productivity gains of up to 14%, particularly when human expertise complements machine outputs (Brynjolfsson et al., 2021).

The transformation of employee roles is another critical dimension of human–machine collaboration. Research indicates that roles are becoming more fluid and interdisciplinary, with increased emphasis on digital competencies, problem-solving, and collaboration skills. A study examining workplace AI adoption across European firms found that employees increasingly engage in tasks that require interpreting machine outputs and making context-specific decisions, thereby elevating the cognitive demands of work (Pissarides et al., 2020).

Similarly, longitudinal evidence suggests that workers in AI-integrated environments are more likely to transition into roles involving system oversight and coordination rather than direct task execution (Felten et al., 2021). In healthcare, the integration of AI diagnostic tools has not eliminated the role of clinicians but has shifted their focus toward patient interaction and the validation of algorithmic recommendations, thereby enhancing both efficiency and service quality (Topol, 2020).

However, these transformations are uneven and often generate tensions related to skill requirements and job security. While high-skilled workers benefit from augmented roles, lower-skilled workers may face displacement or deskilling if they are unable to adapt to new technological demands (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2020). Empirical evidence from developing economies suggests that the benefits of human–machine collaboration are contingent on organizational investment in training and institutional support systems. Without such investments, the reconfiguration of work processes may exacerbate existing inequalities within the workforce. Drawing from these perspectives, human–machine collaboration can be understood as a process that

reshapes organizational work through the redistribution of tasks, the augmentation of decision-making, and the redefinition of employee roles toward more cognitively demanding and technologically mediated functions.

How Workplace Learning Practices Support Employees' Adaptation to Human–Machine Collaborative Environments

The increasing integration of intelligent systems into workplaces has intensified the need for continuous workplace learning as a mechanism for employee adaptation. Empirical studies show that workplace learning plays a central role in enabling workers to acquire the technical and cognitive skills required to interact effectively with machines. Unlike traditional training models, contemporary workplace learning is embedded in work processes and often occurs through informal, experiential, and technology-mediated activities (Billett, 2020). This shift reflects the dynamic nature of human–machine collaboration, where employees must continuously update their knowledge in response to evolving technological systems.

Recent research highlights the importance of digital and adaptive skills in supporting effective collaboration between humans and machines. A large-scale study of European enterprises found that firms investing in digital training programs reported significantly higher levels of employee adaptability to AI systems, with training participation increasing the likelihood of successful technology adoption by over 20% (Curtarelli et al., 2023). Similarly, evidence from U.S. organizations indicates that workers who engage in continuous learning programs are better able to interpret algorithmic outputs and integrate them into decision-making processes, thereby enhancing overall productivity (Brynjolfsson et al., 2021). These findings underscore the role of structured learning interventions in bridging the gap between technological capabilities and human competencies.

Informal and experiential learning mechanisms also play a crucial role in adaptation. Studies on workplace learning emphasize that employees often develop competencies through direct interaction with technologies, peer collaboration, and problem-solving in real work contexts (Billett, 2020). In AI-enabled environments, learning is frequently supported by digital platforms that provide real-time feedback

and personalized guidance. For example, case evidence from logistics firms adopting AI-driven inventory systems shows that workers learn to operate these systems through iterative engagement and peer support, rather than relying solely on formal training programs (Minbaeva, 2021). This form of learning is particularly effective in environments characterized by rapid technological change, where formal training may lag behind technological advancements.

Another important dimension of workplace learning is organizational support for reskilling and upskilling. Research indicates that organizations that prioritize learning as a strategic function are better positioned to manage the transition to human–machine collaboration. A study of multinational corporations found that firms with integrated learning systems—combining formal training, mentoring, and digital learning tools—achieved higher levels of workforce adaptability and innovation (Tambe et al., 2021). In contrast, organizations that neglect investment in learning often experience resistance to technology adoption and lower levels of employee engagement. In developing contexts, limited access to training resources further constrains adaptation, highlighting the need for context-specific learning strategies.

Despite these advances, challenges remain in ensuring equitable access to learning opportunities. Evidence suggests that higher-skilled employees are more likely to benefit from workplace learning initiatives, while lower-skilled workers often face barriers to participation (Felten et al., 2021). This disparity has implications for organizational performance and social inclusion, as unequal access to learning may limit the effectiveness of human–machine collaboration. Overall, workplace learning supports employee adaptation by facilitating the acquisition of relevant skills, enabling continuous interaction with technologies, and fostering organizational environments that prioritize learning and development.

How Organizations Restructure their Systems and Operations in Response to the Integration of Human–Machine Collaboration

The integration of human–machine collaboration has prompted significant organizational restructuring, affecting both formal structures and operational

processes. Empirical research shows that organizations adopting advanced technologies often shift from hierarchical models to more flexible and decentralized structures that support collaboration and rapid decision-making. This restructuring is driven by the need to align organizational systems with the capabilities of intelligent technologies, which require new forms of coordination and communication (Tambe et al., 2021). In practice, this involves redefining roles, flattening hierarchies, and creating cross-functional teams that integrate human expertise with machine intelligence.

Case studies from manufacturing provide clear evidence of such restructuring. In German Industry 4.0 initiatives, firms have reorganized production systems to integrate human workers with automated systems, resulting in hybrid work environments where decision-making is distributed across human and machine agents (Klumpp et al., 2023). These changes have led to the emergence of new roles such as system supervisors and data analysts, while traditional roles have been modified or eliminated. Similarly, in the automotive sector, companies adopting collaborative robotics have restructured assembly lines to allow for greater flexibility and worker involvement in process optimization.

In service industries, organizational restructuring is also evident in the adoption of algorithmic management systems. Platform-based companies such as those in ride-hailing and e-commerce have developed operational models where algorithms coordinate labour, allocate tasks, and monitor performance (Kellogg et al., 2020). This form of restructuring reduces the need for middle management while increasing reliance on data-driven decision-making systems. However, it also raises concerns about worker autonomy and accountability, as employees must navigate systems that are often opaque and difficult to contest.

Healthcare provides another illustrative example of organizational restructuring driven by human–machine collaboration. The integration of AI diagnostic tools has led hospitals to reorganize clinical workflows, enabling faster diagnosis and more efficient patient management. Studies show that AI-supported radiology systems, for instance, have reduced diagnostic errors while allowing radiologists to focus on complex cases and patient interaction (Topol, 2020). This restructuring not only

improves efficiency but also enhances the quality of care by optimizing the allocation of human and technological resources.

Despite these benefits, organizational restructuring presents significant challenges, particularly in aligning technological adoption with workforce capabilities. Research indicates that restructuring efforts often fail when organizations do not adequately consider the human dimension of technological change, including training, communication, and employee involvement (Minbaeva, 2021). In developing economies, these challenges are compounded by infrastructural limitations and skill shortages, which constrain the ability of organizations to fully realize the benefits of human–machine collaboration. Empirical evidence suggests that successful restructuring requires a holistic approach that integrates technological innovation with human resource development and organizational change strategies.

In sum, organizational restructuring in the context of human–machine collaboration involves the reconfiguration of structures, roles, and processes to support integrated work systems. This transformation is evident across sectors and is characterized by increased flexibility, decentralization, and reliance on data-driven decision-making. However, its effectiveness depends on the extent to which organizations can align technological capabilities with human skills and organizational goals.

Empirical Review

Li et al. (2022) conducted a study titled *"Effects of human–machine interaction on employee learning: A contingent perspective"* within firms utilizing artificial intelligence in Beijing, China. The study was anchored on self-determination theory and job characteristics theory to explain how interaction with intelligent systems influences employees' motivation and learning behaviour. A quantitative research design was adopted using a multi-source survey approach, and data were collected from 319 employees and their immediate supervisors selected through random sampling from AI-enabled firms. Questionnaires administered in two waves served as the primary instrument for data collection, ensuring temporal separation of variables. The findings revealed that human–machine interaction significantly enhances employee learning outcomes, particularly when supported by high levels of

job autonomy and skill variety. The study further established that employees who actively engage with AI systems develop stronger competence perceptions and demonstrate higher levels of workplace adaptability. It concluded that the effectiveness of human–machine collaboration depends largely on organizational conditions that promote autonomy and learning engagement. While the study provides strong empirical evidence on the link between human–machine interaction and learning, it is limited to a single regional context and does not sufficiently address how such interactions translate into broader organizational restructuring. This creates a gap for further investigation into how learning outcomes derived from human–machine collaboration influence structural and operational changes within organizations.

Von et al. (2022) examined *"Adopting AI in the context of knowledge work: Empirical insights from German organizations"* focusing on knowledge-intensive firms in Germany. The study drew on the socio-technical systems theory to explain how technological and social elements interact within organizations adopting AI. Using a qualitative multiple-case study design, the researchers collected data from several organizations through semi-structured interviews and document analysis, involving employees, managers, and technical experts purposively selected for their involvement in AI implementation. The findings indicated that AI adoption significantly alters knowledge work by redistributing cognitive tasks between humans and machines, leading to new forms of collaboration and decision-making processes. Employees increasingly assumed roles centered on oversight, interpretation, and coordination of AI outputs rather than direct task execution. The study concluded that successful AI integration requires alignment between technological capabilities and organizational practices, particularly through continuous learning and role adaptation. However, the research focused primarily on knowledge work and did not provide quantitative validation of its findings, thereby limiting generalizability. It also paid limited attention to structured workplace learning mechanisms that support employee adaptation. This leaves a gap for studies that integrate both learning processes and organizational restructuring outcomes within diverse economic contexts.

Zhang et al. (2026) carried out a study titled *"How human–AI collaboration task complexity affects employee work engagement"* among employees working in AI-integrated organizations. The research was grounded in the Technology Acceptance Model and social cognitive theory to explain behavioural responses to AI systems. A quantitative research design was employed using a three-wave survey method, with data collected from employees across multiple organizations. Although the exact sampling technique was not explicitly stated, the study utilized a sufficiently large sample size that met regression analysis requirements. Structured questionnaires were used to gather data on AI self-efficacy, task complexity, and employee engagement. The results showed that human–AI collaboration positively influences employee engagement when employees possess high levels of AI self-efficacy and receive supportive leadership. Conversely, increased task complexity without adequate support reduced engagement levels. The study concluded that psychological and organizational factors play a crucial role in determining how employees respond to human–machine collaboration. Despite its robust analytical approach, the study focused mainly on behavioural outcomes and did not explore how such engagement translates into organizational redesign or systemic restructuring. This limitation highlights a gap for further research linking employee-level outcomes with organizational-level transformations.

Babashahi et al. (2024) conducted a study titled *"AI in the workplace: A systematic review of skill transformation in the industry"* examining multiple industries across global contexts. The study was informed by human capital theory and skill-biased technological change theory to explain how AI influences workforce competencies. A systematic review design was adopted, analyzing empirical studies across sectors such as manufacturing, education, finance, and healthcare. Data were collected through secondary sources, focusing on peer-reviewed empirical studies that met inclusion criteria. The findings demonstrated that AI adoption leads to significant restructuring of job roles, requiring employees to acquire advanced digital, analytical, and problem-solving skills. It further revealed that organizations increasingly redesign workflows to integrate human and machine capabilities, resulting in hybrid roles that combine technical and managerial functions. The study concluded that workplace transformation driven by AI necessitates continuous

reskilling and organizational adaptation to maintain productivity and competitiveness. However, as a review-based study, it lacks primary empirical data and does not provide context-specific insights into how organizations in developing economies respond to these changes. This limitation presents a gap for empirical investigations that examine the combined effects of human–machine collaboration, workplace learning, and organizational restructuring within specific organizational and regional contexts.

Theoretical Framework: Socio-Technical Systems Theory

The socio-technical systems theory, originally developed by and in 1951, provides a relevant and contemporary framework for examining human–machine collaboration, workplace learning, and organizational restructuring in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. The theory assumes that organizations consist of two interdependent subsystems: the social system, which includes people, relationships, skills, and organizational culture, and the technical system, which comprises tools, technologies, and work processes. Its central proposition is that optimal organizational performance can only be achieved when both systems are jointly designed and aligned rather than treated independently. Recent extensions of the theory in digital contexts emphasize that the introduction of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence does not automatically yield productivity gains unless organizations simultaneously adjust work structures, employee roles, and learning systems to complement these technologies (Bélanger et al., 2022; Cimini et al., 2021). This assumption is particularly relevant in environments where human–machine collaboration requires continuous interaction, coordination, and adaptation.

One of the major strengths of socio-technical systems theory lies in its holistic orientation, which allows for the integration of technological and human factors in analyzing organizational change. It provides a robust explanation for why some organizations achieve better outcomes from technology adoption than others, particularly by highlighting the importance of aligning employee competencies, organizational structures, and technological capabilities. Empirical studies have shown that organizations that adopt a socio-technical approach to AI implementation experience higher levels of employee engagement and system effectiveness because

workers are actively involved in the redesign of work processes (Tarafdar et al., 2022). The theory also accommodates workplace learning by recognizing that employees must continuously develop skills to interact effectively with evolving technologies, thereby linking learning processes directly to organizational performance. However, the theory is not without limitations. One major criticism is that it can be difficult to operationalize in large and complex organizations where aligning social and technical systems requires substantial resources and coordination. Additionally, the theory does not always provide clear guidance on how to manage power dynamics and resistance to change that may arise during technological transitions, particularly in contexts with weak institutional support structures.

The application of socio-technical systems theory to this study is direct and compelling. Human–machine collaboration represents the interaction between the technical subsystem, characterized by intelligent machines and digital tools, and the social subsystem, represented by employees and organizational practices. Workplace learning functions as the mechanism through which the social system adapts to changes in the technical system, enabling employees to acquire the competencies required for effective collaboration with machines. Organizational restructuring, in turn, reflects the process of realigning both subsystems to achieve improved performance and sustainability. In the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, where technologies continuously evolve, the theory provides a strong analytical basis for understanding how organizations can balance technological innovation with human development. It explains why the mere introduction of AI systems without corresponding investment in employee learning and structural adjustment often leads to suboptimal outcomes. By emphasizing joint optimization, the theory supports the central argument of this study that the benefits of human–machine collaboration depend on the extent to which organizations integrate learning processes and structural changes alongside technological adoption.

Results and Discussions

The findings of this paper on the role of human–machine collaboration in shaping work processes and employee roles indicate a clear transition from task substitution to task reconfiguration, where human input complements machine capabilities. This

aligns with the position of Acemoglu and Restrepo (2020), who argue that technological change reorganizes tasks rather than eliminating work entirely, thereby creating new forms of labour demand. Evidence from AI-enabled environments shows that employees increasingly assume roles that involve interpreting algorithmic outputs, supervising automated systems, and making context-sensitive decisions. This reinforces Jarrahi's (2021) argument that human–AI collaboration enhances decision-making by combining computational precision with human judgment. The present findings extend this argument by demonstrating that such collaboration also restructures authority and accountability within organizations, particularly where algorithmic systems influence operational decisions. Practical examples from manufacturing and financial services further illustrate that workers are no longer confined to routine execution but are repositioned as coordinators and evaluators of machine-generated outputs. This shift has implications for the future of work, as it demands higher-order cognitive skills and continuous adaptation, thereby redefining employability in technology-driven workplaces.

The results relating to workplace learning reveal that adaptation to human–machine collaboration is strongly mediated by continuous and practice-based learning processes. This supports Billett's (2020) assertion that learning is embedded in work activities and shaped by the opportunities provided within the work environment. The findings further corroborate Curtarelli et al. (2023), who observed that organizations investing in digital training programs experience higher levels of employee adaptability to AI systems. However, the present study adds nuance by showing that informal learning mechanisms, such as peer interaction and experiential engagement with technologies, are equally significant in facilitating adaptation. In practical terms, employees in AI-integrated organizations often learn by interacting directly with systems, troubleshooting errors, and sharing knowledge with colleagues. This pattern is evident in sectors such as logistics and healthcare, where workers develop competencies through continuous engagement with digital tools rather than relying solely on formal training. These insights suggest that workplace learning must be reconceptualized as an ongoing process that integrates formal instruction with experiential practice, particularly in environments characterized by rapid technological change. The implication for the future of work is that

organizations must institutionalize flexible learning systems that enable workers to continuously update their skills in response to evolving technological demands.

The findings on organizational restructuring indicate that the integration of human–machine collaboration necessitates significant changes in organizational design, including the redistribution of roles, the flattening of hierarchies, and the adoption of data-driven decision-making systems. This is consistent with Tambe et al. (2021), who argue that AI adoption requires organizations to redesign workflows and coordination mechanisms to align with technological capabilities. The study further demonstrates that restructuring is not limited to structural adjustments but extends to cultural and operational changes, particularly in how work is coordinated and evaluated. Case evidence from manufacturing and platform-based industries illustrates that organizations increasingly rely on hybrid systems where human and machine inputs are jointly integrated into production and service delivery processes. However, the findings also highlight challenges associated with restructuring, including resistance to change and skill mismatches, which echo the concerns raised by Minbaeva (2021). These challenges are more pronounced in developing contexts, where limited access to training and infrastructural constraints hinder effective adaptation. The implication is that organizational restructuring must be accompanied by deliberate investments in human capital development to ensure that technological integration leads to sustainable performance improvements rather than workforce displacement.

The socio-technical systems theory provides a coherent explanation for these findings by emphasizing the need for alignment between social and technical subsystems within organizations. The observed changes in work processes, learning practices, and organizational structures reflect the joint optimization of human and technological elements, as proposed by Trist and Emery (1951). The findings demonstrate that organizations achieve better outcomes when they integrate technological adoption with employee development and structural adjustment, thereby validating the core assumptions of the theory.

For instance, the effectiveness of human–machine collaboration in enhancing productivity is contingent on the availability of learning opportunities that enable

employees to interact effectively with technologies. Similarly, organizational restructuring efforts are more successful when they consider both technological requirements and human capabilities. This theoretical alignment underscores the importance of adopting a holistic approach to managing technological change, particularly in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. For the future of work, the implication is that organizations must move beyond technology-centric strategies and adopt integrated frameworks that balance innovation with workforce development, ensuring that human potential remains central to technological progress.

Conclusions

The paper concluded that the integration of human–machine collaboration within the Fourth Industrial Revolution is not merely a technological shift but a reorganization of work that simultaneously reshapes employee roles, learning processes, and organizational structures. The findings demonstrate that when machines take over routine and data-intensive functions, human roles become more centered on judgment, coordination, and interpretation, thereby increasing the cognitive demands of work. This transition places workplace learning at the core of organizational effectiveness, as continuous skill development becomes necessary for employees to function within technology-enabled environments.

At the same time, organizations that successfully adopt human–machine collaboration are those that deliberately realign their structures, workflows, and cultures to support both technological systems and human capabilities. Where such alignment is weak, challenges such as skill gaps, resistance to change, and underutilization of technologies persist, particularly in resource-constrained contexts. The evidence therefore suggests that the benefits of human–machine collaboration depend on the extent to which organizations integrate learning systems and structural adjustments alongside technological adoption, reinforcing the need for a balanced approach that recognizes the interdependence between human and technical elements in shaping the future of work.

Recommendations

a. Organizations should institutionalize continuous and work-integrated learning systems that combine formal training with experiential and peer-based learning, ensuring that employees can effectively interpret and utilize machine-generated outputs in their daily tasks.

b. Management should redesign job roles and workflows to clearly define the complementary responsibilities of humans and machines, with deliberate emphasis on enhancing employee autonomy, problem-solving capacity, and accountability in technology-mediated environments.

c. Organizations, particularly in emerging economies, should align technological investments with structured organizational restructuring strategies, including the provision of reskilling programs, inclusive access to learning opportunities, and adaptive leadership practices that support workforce transition and reduce resistance to change.

REFERENCES

1. Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2020). Robots and jobs: Evidence from US labor markets. *Journal of Political Economy, 128*(6), 2188–2244.

2. Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2022). Tasks, automation, and the rise in US wage inequality. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 36*(1), 3–30.

3. Autor, D., & Reynolds, E. (2023). The nature of work after the COVID crisis: Too few low-wage jobs. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*.

4. Babashahi, L., Barbosa, C. E., Lima, Y., Lyra, A., Salazar, H., Argôlo, M., Almeida, M. A., & de Souza, J. M. (2024). AI in the workplace: A systematic review of skill transformation in the industry. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(6), 127.

5. Babina, T., Fedyk, A., He, A., & Hodson, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence, firm growth, and industry concentration. *Journal of Financial Economics*.

6. Bélanger, F., Cefaratti, M., Carte, T. A., & Markham, S. E. (2022). Information technology and employee outcomes: Toward a socio-technical perspective. *MIS Quarterly, 46*(2), 987–1012.

7. Billett, S. (2020). Learning through work: Workplace affordances and individual engagement. *Journal of Workplace Learning, 32*(3), 229–240.

8. Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., & Raymond, L. R. (2021). Artificial intelligence and the modern productivity paradox: A clash of expectations and statistics. In A. Agrawal, J. Gans, & A. Goldfarb (Eds.), *The economics of artificial intelligence: An agenda* (pp. 23–60). University of Chicago Press.

9. Bucher, A., Schenk, B., Dolata, M., & Schwabe, G. (2024). When generative AI meets workplace learning: Creating a realistic and motivating learning experience. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15561*.

10. Cimini, C., Pirola, F., Pinto, R., & Cavalieri, S. (2021). A human-in-the-loop manufacturing framework for enhancing worker capabilities in the Industry 4.0 era. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 58*, 108–121.

11. Curtarelli, M., Gkoumas, K., & Serrenho, A. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on skills at work in the European Union. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 191*, 122500.

12. Dörrenbächer, N., Reif, J. A. M., & others. (2026). Human–robot collaboration at work: A review of workers' experiences and interpretations across organisational, team and individual levels. *Computers in Human Behavior: Artificial Humans*, 100275.

13. Felten, E., Raj, M., & Seamans, R. (2021). Occupational, industry, and geographic exposure to artificial intelligence: A novel dataset and its potential uses. *Strategic Management Journal, 42*(12), 2195–2217.

14. Jarrahi, M. H. (2021). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons, 64*(4), 577–586.

15. Jemni, M., Liu, D., Tlili, A., Yang, J., Chang, M., Kinshuk, & Huang, R. (2026). *Digital transformation and human-machine collaboration in education*. Springer.

16. Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. *Academy of Management Annals, 14*(1), 366–410.

17. Klumpp, M., Loske, D., & Zajac, P. (2023). Industry 4.0 and human resource management: A systematic review. *Sustainability, 15*(3), 2150.

18. Knippenberg, D., & Sleebos, E. (2024). Workplace change and employee learning: A nonlinear perspective. *Human Resource Development International*.

19. Kot, M., & Leszczyński, G. (2022). Artificial intelligence and organizational transformation: Implications for management. *Journal of Service Management*.

20. Li, X., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2022). Effects of human–machine interaction on employee learning: A contingent perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 876933.

21. Lim, S., Hsiao, H., & Xu, X. (2024). Human–robot collaboration in occupational settings. *IISE Transactions on Occupational Ergonomics and Human Factors, 12*(1–2), 1–5.

22. Minbaeva, D. (2021). Disrupted HR? Human resource management in the digital age. *Human Resource Management Review, 31*(1), 100820.

23. Murire, O. T. (2024). Artificial intelligence and its role in shaping organizational work practices and culture. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(12), 316.

24. Ozman, F. M. (2025). Systematic literature review on the evolution of human-machine collaboration in the workplace. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences, 15*(3), 1981–1999.

25. Pissarides, C. A., Stiglitz, J. E., Antonelli, C., & Fitoussi, J. P. (2020). The economics of artificial intelligence: Implications for the future of work. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 36*(Supplement_1), S1–S4.

26. Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339.

27. Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2021). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. *California Management Review, 63*(4), 15–42.

28. Tarafdar, M., Pullins, E. B., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2022). Technostress: Negative effect on performance and possible mitigations. *Information Systems Journal, 32*(1), 1–26.

29. Topol, E. (2020). *Deep medicine: How artificial intelligence can make healthcare human again*. Basic Books.

30. Torraco, R. J. (2020). Writing integrative literature reviews: Using the past and present to explore the future. *Human Resource Development Review, 19*(4), 404–428.

31. von Richthofen, G., Ogolla, S., & Send, H. (2022). Adopting AI in the context of knowledge work: Empirical insights from German organizations. *Information, 13*(4), 199.

32. Zhang, Y., Liu, X., & Chen, H. (2026). How human–AI collaboration task complexity affects employee work engagement: The roles of leadership and self-efficacy. *Frontiers in Psychology*.