

Digital Finance and Financial Inclusion: Opportunities, Challenges, and Policy Implications for Emerging Economies

Authors Details

Md. Ruhul Amin^{1*}

Associated Professor, Department of Business Administration, Dhaka International University.

Corresponding Author: *Md. Ruhul Amin*

Abstract: Digital finance has a key role in promoting economic development, financial inclusion, and technical innovation in emerging countries. The advent of mobile banking, digital payment systems, financial technology (FinTech), and digital credit, and electronic financial services from conventional financial institutions has opened up new avenues for previously excluded people and organizations. Digital finance can contribute in the facilitation of inclusive economic growth, entrepreneurship and poverty alleviation, by improving access to financial services, reducing transaction costs and providing financial services more effectively. However, the size of the profits is not evenly distributed. It still has to deal with the challenges of digital illiteracy, poor infrastructure, cyber security threats, data privacy issues, gender inequality, and legislative ambiguity. This paper discusses the consequences, issues and opportunities for policy of digital transformation in developing countries in relation to digital finance and financial inclusion. The study examines the links between digital financial services and access to savings, credit, payments, insurance and other financial services. The technique is a qualitative study based on academic research and reports of organizations. It also discusses the importance of responsible digital lending, consumer protection, inclusive regulations, and interoperable payment systems. These concerns are particularly relevant in less developed nations where

the expansion of mobile banking has improved the accessibility of transactions without a concomitant increase in the level of actual financial inclusion. This study article offers an institutional and developmental view of digital finance that traces the effects to limit the risk of treating technology as a solution. This study presents a perspective on digital finance as an institutional and developmental tool, instead of a technological triumph, to navigate the effects of digital finance. Next, the role of financial literacy, equal access, responsible governments, and good regulation will continue to be important for financial inclusion, which will be augmented by such technology as available.

Keywords: *Digital finance, financial inclusion, FinTech, emerging economies, mobile financial services, digital payments, financial regulation, inclusive growth.*

1. Introduction

Access to adequate financial services influences household welfare, firm development, economic resilience, and participation in formal economic activities. Financial inclusion is, thus, an important component of contemporary development policy. Those with the ability to pay, get accessible credit, have enough insurance and save well are frequently better placed to withstand financial instability and take advantage of economic opportunities. Yet formal financial services remain out of reach for broad parts of the population in emerging nations, including low-income households, rural populations, women, informal workers and micro, small and medium-sized firms (MSMEs). These populations have often been hard to service for conventional financial institutions due to geographical distance, hefty transaction fees, documentation requirements, bad credit histories and the relatively high cost of maintaining physical branches. These constraints have led governments, financial institutions, telecommunications firms and technology vendors to look at new platforms for delivering services. Digital finance is one of the most crucial advances in this transition. Digital technologies provide financial products and services include mobile phones, online banking, electronic payment systems, digital wallets, automated credit assessments and technology enabled insurance. Such advancements could minimise the requirement for physical banking infrastructure and provide financial services to groups excluded from traditional systems. However, the effect of digital finance depends on the users, the infrastructure and institutional quality (Demirgüç-Kunt et al. 2022). Studies have shown that such services can reduce transaction costs and increase access to financial resources.

Suri and Jack (2016) for example, find that the growth of mobile money in Kenya was associated with improved financial resilience and reductions in extreme poverty for some households, with particularly strong impacts for women. Digitalisation provides an opportunity but it does not automatically mean financial inclusion. Having a mobile wallet does not inevitably guarantee you will have access to low cost credit, the ability to save, buy insurance or resolve financial disputes. Similarly, digital transactions may be followed by exclusion for persons who have no access to reliable internet, suitable equipment, digital skills or trust in online businesses. The crucial research question therefore is how emerging economies can turn the expansion of digital financial infrastructure into sustainable, equitable and meaningful financial inclusion.

The objective of this essay is to address three research questions:

1. Financial Inclusion and Digital Finance in Developing Countries
2. What are the technological, economic, social and institutional obstacles to its effectiveness?
3. What policy measures can be implemented to increase the sustainability, security and inclusiveness of digital financial ecosystems?

The study contributes to the debate by amalgamating technological, institutional and social ideas. However, the argument is that the fundamental growth of digital platforms is not enough to achieve successful digital financial inclusion; rather, a coordinated policy architecture is needed that safeguards consumers, mitigates structural inequalities, encourages responsible innovation, and ensures that the provision of financial services responds to the real needs of the underserved.

2. Conceptual Foundations and Literature Review

2.1 The context of digital finance

Digital finance is the provision, distribution and use of financial services through digital technologies. This encompasses mobile financial services, electronic payment systems, internet banking, digital savings, online lending, financial technology platforms, digital insurance and particular applications of artificial intelligence and distributed ledger technologies. Digital finance differs from the traditional delivery of financial services in that it is based on digitally connected infrastructure, data driven procedures and electronic

interfaces. These qualities could accelerate and ease financial transactions and eliminate some of the administrative and geographical constraints. The potential of digital financial services to extend the reach of formal financial services by enabling people to make and receive payments, save and transact, without relying entirely on traditional banking channels (World Bank, 2022). But digital finance isn't always inclusive. That is its development worth. It relies on how commodities respond to the real financial needs of clients, their pricing, availability and reliability.

2.2 Definition and Measurement of Financial Inclusion

Financial Inclusion is in general terms the availability and effective use of financial products and services that are accessible, appropriate and beneficial to individuals and businesses. It has numerous linked dimensions. First of all, accessibility is the extent to which people are able to receive financial services with the use of existing technologies and organisations. Secondly, affordability covers transaction fees, account charges, prices of financing and other financial difficulties. Third, utilisation is the usage, not the possession, of accounts and services by people. Fourth, there is a connection between quality, consumer protection, transparency, reliability and appropriateness of goods. Finally, financial resilience is a measure of the ability of households and businesses to resist turbulent economic conditions, financial shocks and calamities. Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2022) emphasise the significance of considering the use of digital payments and ownership of financial accounts in the wider context of changes in financial participation. This distinction is essential as formal access does not necessarily mean substantial economic gains.

2.3 Theoretical viewpoints

Different theoretical perspectives on the relationship between financial inclusion and digital finance. The first is the financial intermediation approach, which is about how financial organisations gather savings and allocate money for productive purposes. Digital technologies allow financial firms to reduce information and transaction costs and therefore possibly serve users who would otherwise be unattractive on competitive grounds. The second is the Transaction Cost Economy. Traditional banking requires travel fees, waiting time, paperwork and administrative fees. These challenges can be mitigated

by automation and remote transactions through digital channels. The capability approach is the third strategy of development, associated with Sen (1999). On this view, development is the expansion of the substantive opportunities and practical freedoms that individuals have. The only way digital banking can attract individuals is if they are able to use financial services well. Thus, literacy, gadgets, connectivity, institutional trust and usable interfaces become the major determinants of inclusion. A fourth view involves institutional economics. North (1990) contends that institutions affect economic incentives and behaviour. In digital finance this means that technology innovation cannot achieve enduring gains without effective laws, enforceable consumer rights, proper oversight and confidence in financial institutions. To summarise, these viewpoints point to the synergy between technology, markets, people skills and institutions as a key ingredient for the development of digital financial inclusion.

2.4 Literature Review

Previous research have identified many routes via which digital banking can increase financial inclusion. Jack, W and Suri, T 2014, 'Risk sharing and transactional costs: 'Evidence from Kenya's mobile money revolution' . Later Suri and Jack (2016) present evidence on the relationship between mobile money growth and poverty reduction and changes in occupational choices, particularly for women. Ozili (2018) writes about the potential and limitation of digital finance regarding financial inclusion and stresses the issues of infrastructure, regulation and digital capabilities. The results suggest that the outcomes of digital financial innovation are context-dependent. According to the 2021 Global Findex Database, the significance of formal bank accounts and digital payments is growing, although there exists inequality in access to and use of them (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). Literature generally implies that digital finance can improve convenience and efficiency but unequal access to technology and limited financial capability may result in unequal gains for disadvantaged groups. The challenge of differentiating technological adoption and developmental consequences is open. For example, more transactions are not necessarily linked to more protection of funds, access to affordable productive credit or more financial resilience for consumers. This paper addresses the analytical challenge by conceptualising financial inclusion as a multidimensional outcome, rather than a straightforward assessment of account ownership or digital payment activity.

3. Research Methodology

This study is a qualitative literature-based research design. It draws on relevant academic research, development reports and conceptual contributions to financial regulation, financial inclusion, digital finance and emerging economies. In addition to the Global Findex Database, the analysis builds significantly on the World Bank's publications, research on mobile money, and theoretical literature on development capacity and institutions. The sources are thematically evaluated for policy aims, structural constraints and recurring possibilities. The conversation is structured around four analytical themes: socio-economic impacts of digital financial inclusion; technological and institutional weaknesses; policy solutions for inclusive implementation; and access to digital financial services. This article does not aim to give a systematic review based on a reproducible database search, to publish new survey results, or to generate econometric estimations. Rather, it offers a conceptual synthesis of the existing literature to construct policy relevant arguments for emerging economies. Disadvantage of this technique is that the outcomes depend on the comparability and coverage of the existing investigations. After the evaluated sources were published, individual technologies, market structures and regulatory situations could change quickly in digital financial ecosystems.

4. Opportunities Offered by Digital Finance

4.1. Expanding access to formal financial services

One of the great promises of digital finance is that it will aid those badly served by traditional institutions. Mobile financial services and digital wallets can allow clients to open or use accounts, send money and make payments without having to travel long distances to a physical branch. This is especially critical in rural areas where there is no financial infrastructure. Digital platforms can help financial institutions offer products for low-income users and reduce the cost of serving geographically scattered customers. And, digital accounts can serve as an entry point into the formal financial system. As users migrate to digital payments, providers may provide other services such as microinsurance, savings products and well-designed credit facilities. But the journey from access to complete inclusion is not, however, inevitable. Policy makers and providers should make sure that accounts are accessible, useable and affordable for customers with a poor digital experience.

4.2 Enhancing efficiency and reducing transaction costs .

Digital financial transactions can save the time and expense of manual processing, travel and cash management. Electronic transfer can speed up the flow of payments between public bodies, corporations and people. Faster settlement and cheaper payment fees can be a boon for small businesses in terms of better cash flow management. Digital records also make it easier to retain records and help entrepreneurs track their economic activity. Efficient payment systems can minimise the costs of transactions in the market place and, on a macro-economic level, facilitate the transfer of money between areas. But the extent of these benefits depends on the quality of the underlying infrastructure, interoperability, pricing mechanisms and durability of the network. So the speed of the transaction in itself should not be a basis of measuring the digital services, but the overall cost, dependability and value for clients.

4.3 Support to Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises:

Micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) are an important engine of economic activity and jobs in developing countries. But many people can't get bank loans since they don't have formal accounts, credit history, audited statements or collateral. Digital financial services can assist to overcome some of these hurdles. Electronic payment data may offer further insight into business turnover and transaction trends. With appropriate safeguards, this information can assist inform a more accurate credit evaluation and potentially reduce the need for traditional collateral. Digital lending platforms can also give working capital to companies in smaller and quicker loan amounts. But alternative data should complement, not replace, sound credit evaluation. But these benefits can be negated by opaque fees, discriminatory algorithms, aggressive lending tactics and incorrect records. The policy objective should be to increase the availability of productive finance while retaining the affordability, transparency and affordability of payback.

4.4 Women and the Marginalised Empowerment

Digital finance can facilitate women's economic involvement by enabling direct receipt of income, government transfers, remittances and company payments. Personal managed accounts can give your financial assets more privacy and control. Suri and Jack (2016) give evidence of the potential of mobile money to improve women's economic resilience

and occupational choices in Kenya. These effects have particular relevance when considering social norms, unpaid care work and mobility constraints that limit women's access to tangible financial facilities. In the case of digital services, however, this might further exacerbate the inequalities women are facing if they lack online connectivity, identity documents or if they do not own a smartphone. Poorly managed mobile accounts and devices could jeopardize financial autonomy. So, the successful implementation of a Gender responsive Digital banking strategy must consider the respondent's financial control, skills, privacy, financial account ownership, and access to technology, not only number of female users signing up.

4.5 Enhanced Social Protection and Government Transfers

Digital banking systems can be used by governments to deliver a range of public transfers, such as social assistance, pensions, scholarships and disaster relief. Electronic payments directly can eliminate the risks involved with the transfer of cash, as well as administrative delays, and enhance traceability; Digital government payments also allow beneficiaries to be linked to established financial institutions. Where applicable, payment records may be used to support continued use of savings and other services. However, dissemination solely in a digital format could exclude people who have no access to digital interfaces, no internet access, inaccessible equipment or no identification. Recipients may also be subject to agency limits or withdrawal fees. Public authorities must ensure that beneficiaries have the means to appeal erroneous payments or to obtain assistance. This includes payment of any applicable fees and, where appropriate, providing accessible alternative channels.

4.6 Financial resilience, insurance and saving

Digital platforms can also provide access to low-value insurance products and discounts. Automated deposits, transaction notifications and flexible payment choices could help those with erratic income. Also the digital distribution of insurance could lower administrative expenses and provide a way to buy specific categories of health, agriculture, property or climate insurance. These services can be used as an add-on to the informal coping methods that households use to cope with economic volatility. But this can not replace product design. Insurance exclusions, convoluted terminology, unreliable claims processes and unsuitable savings arrangements all erode consumer confidence. That is,

digital financial inclusion should not be about adding services for the sake of increasing services, but about increasing services that offer significant protection and proved value.

5. Major Challenges and Risks

5.1 Infrastructure and the digital divide

There are still gaps in coverage, and access to energy, mobile networks, reliable internet, affordable devices and user-friendly digital interfaces are key barriers to digital inclusion. “Connectivity may be more expensive and less reliable for people living in rural locations and low-income households. It might be difficult to use Internet platforms for people with impairments, the elderly and those with low literacy skills. These inequalities can lead to a bifurcated financial system, with the tech-savvy reaping the benefits of innovation, while others are stuck with expensive or difficult solutions. Therefore, governments ought to give priority to the development of telecommunications infrastructure, inclusive design, and inexpensive connection as core elements of any financial inclusion plan.

5.2 Lack of digital and financial skills

And it is not because someone has a mobile phone that they are safe to utilise banking software. Users should be aware of account authentication, transaction validation, associated fees, repayment requirements and the repercussions of disclosing personal information. If you are not digitally savvy, you are more likely to fall victim to fraud, phishing, impersonation or accidental transfer. Poor financial literacy could lead to inapt borrowing practices, or to misinterpretation of interest rates and contractual provisions. Financial literacy should be practical and ongoing. Programs should teach users how to verify transactions and secure passwords, recognise suspicious messages, calculate the costs of borrowing and ask for help when there’s a problem. “Educational programs work best when they are geared to the vernacular of the target demographic, their reading level and the popular financial products they use.

5.3 Consumer Protection, Fraud Prevention and Cybersecurity

As financial activities become more digital, cyber security and operational resilience are becoming more important. Malefactors could exploit stolen passwords, social engineering, dishonest software, SIM-based assaults and weaknesses in payment infrastructures. People

could lose money and major incidents could erode confidence in digital finance companies. Small providers may not have the resources to maintain advanced security systems. Financial organisations should have risk-based authentication, encryption methods, transaction monitoring, secure software development, incident reporting, and robust recovery processes. Regulatory agencies should have clear roles in the prevention of fraud, investigation of complaints and reimbursement of victims. Strong consumer protection must be preserved whether services are offered through agents, third-party applications or complicated networks of suppliers. Clients need to know who owns their assets and how to get recourse.”

5.4 Data confidentiality and algorithmic bias

The collection and analysis of personal and transactional data is increasingly underpinning digital banking. These data can improve buying credit and providing services. Too much or too little control of data collection can cause problems with privacy however. Credit-scoring processes can reinforce discrepancies if they systematically penalize any groups of individuals based on the data or algorithms. They may be deemed high-risk borrowers, even if they can meet their financial responsibilities, because they have only a tiny trail of digital breadcrumbs. In good data governance, consent is explicit, use is purpose limited, data is minimal, storage is safe, judgements are transparent and mechanisms for resolving complaints are robust. “Some major negative decisions should be communicated to clients and incorrect information challenged. Regulators should require adequate testing, accountability and human supervision for critical circumstances when automated systems influence credit or other major financial decisions.

5.5 Predatory Lending and Internet Over-Indebtedness

Conversely, the existence of loans via digital lending platforms may encourage compulsive borrowing. People in financial hardship face substantial barriers, such as rushed loan approvals, inadequate affordability assessments, exorbitant fees and aggressive collection techniques. This risk is particularly acute when a large number of lenders lend to the same borrower without enough information exchange and reasonable credit screening. Digital lenders should assess borrowers’ capacity to repay, make clear the full cost of borrowing, disclose penalties and offer fair means for complaints. Regulators should monitor

overborrowing, deceptive advertising and abusive debt collection and lending tactics that prey on consumers' low financial literacy. Access to credit should not be considered as an end in itself. The aim is to promote excellent & sustainable lending, not debt expansion.

5.6 Market Density and Interoperability

Digital financial markets can have network effects – the more users and organisations who participate, the more attractive the platform becomes. Network effects can make things more convenient, but they can also allow major suppliers to solidify their control in a market. High switching costs, proprietary systems and restricted compatibility might stifle competition and make it harder for users to transfer funds between platforms. Interoperability of payment systems can improve convenience, stimulate competitiveness and reduce fragmentation. Interoperability, however, implies similar technical standards, transparent settlement methods, adequate security measures and a fair burden sharing. Competition policy must also deal with unnecessary access conditions and excessive costs without killing innovation or risking system stability.

5.7 Institutional Disunity and Regulatory Deficiencies

Digital financial ecosystems are generally made up of banks, telecoms, payment processors, technology businesses, agents and data analytics organisations. They may be subject to a range of regulatory regimes. Fragmented control can generate ambiguity concerning licensing, consumer accountability, data protection, cyber security and overseas transactions. If the pace of technology advances is quickened, the challenges to regulatory systems may be more complex. Policymakers need to build coherent supervisory frameworks that identify the diverse risks of each activity. Regulations need to be flexible enough to permit innovation, but also to uphold fundamental values such as consumer protection, financial integrity and operational resilience.

6. Digital Finance in Emerging Economies: The Bangladesh Context

Bangladesh is a good place to explore the pitfalls and possibilities of digital financial inclusion. Other technology-enabled financial channels such as electronic payments, agent banking and mobile financial services have expanded the scope for transacting outside traditional bank branches. These services could prove particularly valuable for rural

households, informal sector firms, families of migrant workers and those with geographic or administrative impediments to traditional banking. Convenient for households receiving remittances and public benefits are digital transfers; convenient for merchants doing commercial transactions are electronic payments. But creating a more equal financial ecosystem will require overcoming a host of stubborn obstacles. Having digital access or continuing to use an account does not mean that suitable savings, insurance and credit products will be available. Secondly, confidence must be maintained in the public by preventing fraud and resolving concerns. Thirdly, inequalities in digital skills, income, gender and geographical connection may alter the distribution of benefits. Fourth, the informal economy and digital financial services require special attention. Lots of small businesses suffer from bad recordkeeping and irregular earnings. Digital documentation may increase the possibility to establish corporate activity but formalization should not imply burdensome compliance costs for small firms. Fifth, Bangladesh needs good cooperation between government agencies, digital service providers, banking regulators and telecom authorities. Policies should focus on consumer protection while not jeopardizing the security and interoperability of payment systems, and while promoting competition. The path forward is pragmatic, combining good dispute resolution mechanisms, trustworthy agent networks, responsible lending, transparent pricing, easily available financial education and affordable digital payments. And for those who cannot adopt fully digital channels on their own, offline or supported service options should be available. Bangladesh's experience offers a larger lesson for developing countries. Building the infrastructure for digital transactions is a big achievement, but affordability, regular use, financial stability, and better economic opportunities are the actual tests of inclusion.

7. Policy Implications for Emerging Economies

7.1 Building comprehensive digital architectures

Authorities should emphasize the importance of having reliable telephone service, stable power supply, accessible connection and reliable payment mechanisms. Investment also has to grow in rural and forgotten communities, not just metropolitan places. Supporting proper private investment can help public agencies guarantee the infrastructure development is competitive and broadly regionally dispersed. Digital banking systems

should be designed to work well in low-bandwidth locations, on simple devices, with assistive technology, and for people who need extra help.

7.2 Enhancing Financial Literacy in the Digital Era

Digital financial literacy should be embedded in national financial inclusion policies through education, occupational training, community activities and public service delivery. The training should include practical features such as account security, fraud detection, transaction authentication, borrowing charges, privacy settings and grievance procedures. Women, elderly, rural and first-time consumers of digital finance should be specially emphasised. Financial institutions, fintech startups, academic bodies and community organisations can collaborate on activities that are relevant in the area. They shouldn't be judged by how many people attend, but by whether they lead to a noticeable increase in users' knowledge and behaviour.

7.3 Enhancing Consumer Protections and Data Handling Practices

Regulatory bodies should set basic standards for transparency, informed consent, equal treatment, data protection and conflict resolution. Financial institutions should offer open and clear information on transaction fees, currency rates, borrowing charges, penalties and essential contractual clauses. Clients should receive clear transaction confirmations and have access to support in a timely way in case of any issues or suspected fraud. Privacy laws should prohibit the gathering of personal information and its subsequent use without justification. Regulators should develop acceptable criteria for automated decision making and alternative credit evaluation systems. “The framework should offer practical solutions for consumers without creating such complex compliance requirements that smaller, responsible suppliers are effectively forced out of the market.”

7.4 Improving Interoperability and Fair Competition

Developing governments need to push for good interoperability between payment systems, banking institutions, digital wallets and any other sanctioned providers.

Standardisation can ease the payment between systems and alleviate some of the difficulties that fragmented financial networks have. Fair and open access regimes could foster innovation and new entrants in services. But interoperability will not work without

robust governance, reliable settlement mechanisms, cyber precautions and clear responsibility. Regulators and financial regulators should collaborate to analyse market concentration, unjust pricing, anti-competitive activities and barriers to switching suppliers.

7.5 Encouraging responsible digital lending

Regulation of digital credit should enhance financial inclusiveness and protect borrowers from over-indebtedness. Creditors should carry out appropriate affordability tests, provide the full cost of borrowing and adopt fair debt collection techniques. “Credit information sharing frameworks may help lenders in identifying excessive borrowing from multiple sources, where relevant and within the bounds of the law.” Systems shall have provisions for Data Accuracy, Data Confidentiality, Data Correction and Fair Treatment. Regulators should monitor how digital finance solutions perform for low income households and micro, small and medium enterprises. What matters is whether the loans are going to productive activity or just enabling continual refinancing and financial hardship.

7.6 Improving Coordination and Regulatory Capacity

Good governance requires cooperation between central banks, financial regulators, data protection authorities, telecommunications agencies, competition authorities and other relevant public organisations. Regulators need to develop technical competence in areas including cybersecurity, digital identity, payment systems, algorithmic decision-making, and financial issues related to technology. While regulatory sandboxes could be a safe space to experiment with new products, there is no reason that customers should lose their basic rights. Pilot programs should be circumscribed and have clear goals and limits on possible hazards, monitoring methods and ways to end programs. Such it is in situation where remittances, cloud computing service, or financial technology are a transnational phenomenon.

7.7 Measuring Meaningful Financial Inclusion

The government needs to do more than just archive digital transactions or registered accounts. A strong monitoring framework should capture how often people are able to use financial services in a way that is safe, affordable and autonomous. Indicators are gender,

income, disability and geographic disparities, use of active accounts, transaction prices, availability of services, saving behaviors, access to proper credit and insurance, resolution of complaints and fraud losses. The question should also include whether digital finance is enabling enterprise development, easing barriers to economic participation and strengthening household resilience. Where statistics are available, disaggregated indicators and independent research should be used to identify groups that remain underserved. This methodology will contribute to ensuring that the assessment of digital transformation is based on the success of development and not just on the deployment of technology.

8. Discussion

The results demonstrate that digital finance has a positive effect on financial inclusion through several inter-related channels. Digital platforms eliminate some of the costs traditional banks have, enable payment making and receipt, and provide new ways for consumers and organizations to interact with conventional financial institutions. The link between digital finance and inclusiveness is not automatic, but conditional. Technology has the ability to offer benefits depending on the quality of infrastructure, financial assets, institutional trust and regulatory competence. This dialog results in three major findings. Access doesn't mean use well, at first. A person may have an account, but may find it hard to safely store money, get credit on good terms, or have a disputed transaction fixed. This means the financial inclusion strategy needs to be targeted at the quality of services and their practical use. Second, innovation and consumer protection should be promoted at the same time. Poor regulation can mean consumers are deceived, have their privacy violated and are subject to unethical lending practices. Excessively strict standards can limit competition and discourage good-intentioned providers from serving neglected communities. One way to align these objectives is through a risk-focused strategy. Third, digital finance should supplement rather than replace traditional approaches. Fully digital systems can be beneficial for skilled users, but may exclude people with inadequate connectivity, disability or low levels of digital proficiency. And the auxiliary services and alternative access arrangements help ease exclusion throughout the changeover. The findings suggest that digital financial inclusion should be taken up simultaneously with other development objectives. Improved payment systems may increase the financial well-being of entrepreneurs and households. However, these advantages rely on the economic

prognosis, market dynamics and availability of necessary resources. The best way forward for poor nations is not to go too far down the road of digitization. The goal is to establish financial ecosystems where technology, regulation, institutional responsibility and human abilities reinforce each other.

9. Conclusion

Digital finance can be an important tool to improve access to financial services, raise the efficiency of transactions, support small firms, reinforce government payments, and increase economic involvement of excluded individuals in emerging nations. Mobile financial services, digital payments, electronic savings and ethical technology-enabled finance can address some of the limitations of conventional financial institutions. But technological progress alone cannot ensure inclusive growth. The digital gap, poor financial literacy, cybersecurity hazards, data privacy threats, predatory lending, market concentration and fragmented regulation can be barriers to disadvantaged communities to benefit from digital innovation. This paper makes a case for viewing financial inclusion as efficient and equitable use of relevant financial services and not just holding of digital accounts. To get there we need affordable infrastructure, accessible technology, ethical product design, strong consumer protection, interoperable payment mechanisms and coordinated institutional monitoring. Bangladesh and the rest of the developing world need financial education, gender-responsive policies, robust fraud prevention, clear pricing and fair access to financial markets together with investment in digital infrastructure. They also want to develop tracking methods to assess financial stability, actual consumption, affordability, quality of service and the distribution of benefits across different demographic groups. After all, whether digital finance can protect people's rights and financial security, and increase their realistic economic chances, will determine its developmental usefulness. Emerging economies may integrate digital innovation into a more resilient, inclusive and sustainable financial future with an institutionally accountable and human-centered strategy.

References

1. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D. and Ansar, S. (2022) The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19. Washington, DC: World Bank.

2. Jack, W. and Suri, T. (2014) ‘Risk sharing and transactions costs: Evidence from Kenya’s mobile money revolution’, *American Economic Review*, 104(1), pp. 183–223.
3. North, D.C. (1990) *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
4. Ozili, P.K. (2018) ‘Impact of digital finance on financial inclusion and stability’, *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 18(4), pp. 329–340.
5. Sen, A. (1999) *Development as Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Suri, T. and Jack, W. (2016) ‘The long-run poverty and gender impacts of mobile money’, *Science*, 354(6317), pp. 1288–1292.
7. World Bank (2022) *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

